Domain Aware Multi-Task Pretraining of 3D Swin Transformer for T1-weighted Brain MRI

Jonghun Kim^{1,2*} , Mansu Kim^{3*} , and Hyunjin Park^{1,2†} \bigcirc

 ¹ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea
 ² Center for Neuroscience Imaging Research, Institute for Basic Science, Suwon, Republic of Korea
 ³ AI Graduate School, Gwanju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, Republic of Korea {iproj2,hyunjinp}@skku.edu, mansu.kim@gist.ac.kr

Abstract. The scarcity of annotated medical images is a major bottleneck in developing learning models for medical image analysis. Hence, recent studies have focused on pretrained models with fewer annotation requirements that can be fine-tuned for various downstream tasks. However, existing approaches are mainly 3D adaptions of 2D approaches ill-suited for 3D medical imaging data. Motivated by this gap, we propose novel domain-aware multi-task learning tasks to pretrain a 3D Swin Transformer for brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Our method considers the domain knowledge in brain MRI by incorporating brain anatomy and morphology as well as standard pretext tasks adapted for 3D imaging in a contrastive learning setting. We pretrain our model using large-scale brain MRI data of 13,687 samples spanning several large-scale databases. Our method outperforms existing supervised and self-supervised methods in three downstream tasks of Alzheimer's disease classification, Parkinson's disease classification, and age prediction tasks. The ablation study of the proposed pretext tasks shows the effectiveness of our pretext tasks. Our code is available at github.com/jongdory/DAMT.

Keywords: Self supervised learning \cdot Magnetic Resonance Imaging \cdot Swin Transformer, 3D Medical Image Analysis

1 Introduction

The recent success of neural networks in computer vision has prompted researchers to explore new network models for medical imaging tasks. For example, tasks involving tumor region segmentation and disease classification have been performed using various modalities, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT), typically using supervised learning methods [31, 35, 69, 89, 92]. One prominent model in this domain is the Vision

^{*} Equal Contribution

[†] Corresponding Author



Fig. 1: Overview of our proposed multi-task pretraining framework. The original MR image is divided into global and local views. Augmentation is then performed by applying masking and rotation, followed by feeding into the Swin Transformer. The process shows that the encoder learns features through seven pretext tasks.

Transformer (ViT), as introduced by [23], which has revolutionized the fields of computer vision and hence medical image analysis. ViTs particularly excel at learning pretext tasks, providing scalability for large-scale training [91], and enabling efficient gathering of both global and local information. Unlike convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which have limited receptive fields, ViTs encode visual representations from a sequence of patches and employ self-attention mechanisms to model long-range global information [47, 48, 70]. The ViT architecture has been extended to accommodate three-dimensional (3D) images, including those used in medical imaging and video analysis [76, 77]. However, there are a few key parameters to consider before applying ViT to 3D images, optimizing the trade-off between patch size and sequence length is important. For instance, in scenarios with larger patch sizes, the information capacity of each patch might become suboptimal, resulting in potential information loss. Conversely, using smaller patch size settings leads to a cubic increase in the sequence length, resulting in an exponential increase in computational cost. To address these challenges, the Swin transformer, proposed by [53], offers an efficient solution for the processing of 3D data. It is known for boosting robustness to varying patch sizes and sequence lengths, besides the reduction in computational costs and improved space efficiency.

Pretraining strategies are widely employed in both natural and medical image analyses to enhance model performance. Given the time-consuming and expensive process of annotating medical images, training with a limited number of labeled samples is essential for effective medical image analyses. The conventional approach to pretraining involves supervised pretraining using large labeled datasets of natural images, such as ImageNet [38,39]. However, applying two-dimensional (2D) image-based neural network models to 3D medical imaging poses several challenges. This is due to the significant domain gap between natural images and medical imaging modalities, such as MRI and CT. Additionally, the absence of cross-plane contextual information in 3D images further complicates the process. Consequently, selecting suitable supervised tasks and developing domain-specific pretraining tasks remain significant challenges when effectively training models for 3D medical imaging [52]. Self-supervised learning (SSL) tasks represent effective approaches for learning useful representations from unlabeled data. These tasks have proven successful in computer vision for pretraining models capable of learning general features applicable to a broad range of downstream tasks [14, 22, 62, 63, 66, 95]. Nevertheless, depending solely on these methods can result in the acquisition of irrelevant features. Consequently, domain-aware tasks are necessary during pretraining to facilitate the learning of crucial image features. SSL tasks can be easily trained on natural images owing to the wide availability of large databases. However, despite the scarcity of such databases in the medical imaging domain, we harnessed most of the available large-scale brain MRI databases, totaling 13,687 scans, to empower our approach. In this study, we propose domain-specific self-supervised tasks that leverage expertise in brain imaging and apply them to pretrain a Swin transformer. Inspired by previous research, [9,83], we have designed transformations suitable for 3D medical images and applied them for pretraining. The proposed self-supervised tasks encourage the model to learn representations related to the general brain anatomy and morphological characteristics.

Contribution:

- We present a novel multi-task pretraining framework that leverages domainspecific knowledge of brain anatomy and related morphological features. This framework incorporates several self-supervised tasks, including image rotation, patch location, and masked image modeling within the contrastive learning setup.
- We successfully pretrain a Swin transformer on 3D brain T1-weighted MRI images using the proposed pretext tasks. We perform experiments on large-scale brain MRI dataset (n = 13,687) to demonstrate improvement of our pretraining strategy over the competing methods.
- We demonstrate the clinical benefits of our pretrained model, such as accurate diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD), as well as predicting the chronological age.

2 Related Work

2.1 Self-Supervised Learning

A general representation can be learned in an embedding space derived from a high-dimensional input. The objective is to enhance the similarity between semantically related data samples and increase the distance between dissimilar data samples. SSL leverages pretext tasks, also known as proxy tasks, such as solving jigsaw puzzles, memorizing the spatial context from images, predicting image rotation, colorization, and restoring images to learn feature representations [22, 62, 63, 66, 95]. Other studies have employed contrastive learning approaches, such as the simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations (SimCLR) [14], momentum contrast (MoCo) [15, 37], bootstrap your own latent (BYOL) [33], and self-distillation with no labels (DINO) [9] to learn the representation effectively. Recent research has focused on SSL by masking and restoring random patches. Masked autoencoder (MAE) [36] pretrains ViT



Fig. 2: Detailed illustration of each pretext task in our proposed approach. (a) Brain Anatomy: predicting the parcellation of the input brain image. (b) Brain Morphology: predicting morphology, such as thickness or curvature, of the input brain image. (c) Radiomics Texture Prediction: predicting radiomics texture in the white matter, gray matter, and CSF regions. (d) Patch Location: identifying the position of the patch in the local view. (e) Image Rotation: rotating the original image and determining the corresponding rotation. (f) Masked Image Modeling: the original image is cut out and reconstructed back to its original form. (g) Contrastive Learning: different augmentations applied to the same patch are pulled closer as positive pairs and inputs from different images are pushed away as negative pairs.

by randomly masking and restoring images, which leads to masked image modeling (MIM). SimMIM [90] is a simplified version of MIM that can be applied to Swin transformers.

2.2 Pretraining for Medical Image

The aforementioned pretext tasks for SSL have successfully learned representations in 2D natural images, as well as in some 3D medical images in a 2D manner [3, 12]. For instance, one study employs a task involving the ordering of 2D axial slices in 3D CT and MR images, resulting in improved body part recognition [94]. Another study proposed a task that predicted the distance between 2D patches in 3D brain images, which was effective for brain tissue segmentation [80]. Tang et al. [83] pretrained a transformer on 3D medical images by simultaneously predicting rotation, inpainting reconstruction, and contrastive learning. I3D [10] is a 3D CNN model pretrained with a kinetics dataset for action recognition, and attempts have been made to apply it to medical images [43]. However, due to the domain gap between natural and medical images, the pretrained models are not fully suitable for the medical domain. Existing studies have primarily achieved success by applying or extending 2D pretext tasks in a 3D context. However, these tasks were originally designed to address computer vision challenges in 2D natural images and may not be optimal for learning the complex anatomical and morphological properties of brain images. Hence, we focus on introducing brain imaging-specific pretasks to incorporate brain anatomy and morphological characteristics. The aim is to successfully learn high-level representations relevant to brain structure and functions.

3 Methodology

In this section, we provide an overview of the formulations of the self-supervised pretext tasks. These tasks are designed to facilitate the learning of effective data representations z in a 3D context. Furthermore, they enable the model to comprehend the complex brain anatomy and morphology from unlabeled 3D image samples during the pretraining phase. Inspired by the augmentation method introduced in a previous study [9], we incorporated augmentation into our approach. In general, we divided pretraining into two views: global and local. The global view focuses on capturing the overall image structure. In contrast, the local view is designed to facilitate the learning of localized features in the brain. The local view is a subset of the global view, and both views undergo rotations and intensity shifting. Fig. 1 depicts the data augmentation process and the proposed multi-tasking framework. Additionally, all processes and multi-tasks were applied concurrently and learned simultaneously. Fig. 2 illustrates the details of the various pretext tasks, which will be explained in the following sections.

3.1 Domain Aware Tasks

Brain Anatomy Prediction. Brain parcellation is a crucial neuroscience technique that involves dividing the whole brain into distinct, smaller regions [25, 84]. Typically, brain parcellation is derived from T1-weighted MRI. There are several ways to parcellate the brain, including atlasbased, network-based, and data-driven parcellation [30, 72, 75]. Fig. 3 provides a detailed depic-



Fig. 3: Illustration of brain parcellation and morphology in sagittal view of MRI. Left plot showcases 120 regions of brain parcellation using the Desikan Atlas. Right plot represents the thickness and curvature of brain morphology.

tion of the brain parcellation. Domain experts, such as radiologists and neurologists, have suggested that predicting small anatomical parcels in 3D brain images may aid in the detection and localization of brain abnormalities, such as atrophy, which might not be visible or distinguishable when considering the

whole-brain level [5,68]. Herein, we consider the brain anatomy prediction task as a multiclass segmentation problem, aiming to generate a brain parcellation map for a given image patch. More specifically, we have divided the brain into 120 non-overlapping regions based on a pre-defined atlas (i.e., Desikan atlas) and trained a model to predict the corresponding segmentation map for a given input patch, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (a). Given that the patches are relatively small and unlikely to encompass all 120 regions, our training was limited to regions that are present within the specific patch. We employed the Dice similarity coefficient as the loss function for each input patch and minimized it between the predicted and ground truth segmentation maps (P and \hat{P} , respectively) for a given patch.

$$\mathcal{L}_{anatomy} = \operatorname{Dice}(P, \hat{P}) \tag{1}$$

Brain Morphology Prediction. Brain morphology can be assessed with structural measures of the brain, such as volume, cortical thickness, and cortical curvature [40, 81]. In neuroimage analysis, studies focused on brain morphology because it provides valuable insights for estimating age, behavioral measurements (that is, memory performance and cognitive assessments), or disease diagnosis [1, 27, 51]. Fig. 3 provides a detailed depiction of brain morphology. In this study, we specifically examined two important morphological features: cortical thickness and curvature, due to their clinical relevance and associations with various diseases [87]. The precalculated morphological features, such as the average measurement within a specific brain region, are predicted using a regression framework for a given patch, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (b). During model training, we excluded measurements from regions that were not contained within the patch. The L1 loss is employed to minimize the difference between predicted and ground truth brain morphology measurements.

$$\mathcal{L}_{morpho} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \| v_i^{mor} - \hat{v}_i^{mor} \|_1$$
(2)

Here, S is a set of regions in a given patch, v_i^{mor} denotes the ground truth brain morphology measurement in the *i*-th region, and \hat{v}^{mor} is predicted brain morphology measurements using learned representation z.

Radiomics Texture Prediction. Radiomics is a medical research field focused on extracting numerous quantitative features from medical images, offering deeper insights than that perceivable by the human eye. Among these, radiomics texture features, such as the gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) and graylevel size zone matrix (GLSZM) features, assess voxel intensity relationships in an image, providing richer perspective than mere shape and size [2, 59]. When these features are applied to the task of brain image segmentation tasks, specifically for white matter (WM), gray matter (GM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), they can reveal insights into tissue microstructures and their pathologic changes. For instance, radiomics texture variations can indicate changes in the WM due to aging or injury, and those variations in CSF hint at shifts in brain ventricle size. We extract these radiomics features from WM, GM, and CSF and train our encoder, as shown in Fig. 2 (c), using the L1 loss to ensure alignment between the extracted and predicted features.

$$\mathcal{L}_{radiomics} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \left\| v_i^{rad} - \hat{v}_i^{rad} \right\|_1 \tag{3}$$

Here, C represents a set of regions (GM, WM, and CSF), v_i^{rad} denotes the ground truth radiomics feature in *i*-th region, and \hat{v}^{rad} is the predicted radiomics feature.

3.2 Self-supervised Tasks

Patch Location. The concept of spatial context learning was first proposed by [22] and extended to a 3D context by [82]. The task of 3D patch location estimation was applied to leverage the 3D spatial context and learn the semantic representations of the data, as depicted in Fig. 2 (d). Specifically, the patch location prediction task randomly extracts N non-overlapping 3D patches from each input 3D image and then predicts patch locations by classifying N classes. The task is optimized by minimizing the cross-entropy loss between the groundtruth location and the predicted location (i.e., y_i^{loc} and \hat{y}_i^{loc}) defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{loc} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i^{loc} \log(\hat{y}_i^{loc}) \tag{4}$$

Here, N is the number of patches extracted from the original image and \hat{y}_i^{loc} is the predicted location using learned representation z. To prevent the model from taking advantage of trivial solutions by exploiting edge continuity and rapidly solving the task, random gaps are introduced between adjacent 3D patches.

Image Rotation. The 3D image rotation prediction task, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (e), was applied to provide semantic information for the model to learn [29,82]. In this task, we randomly rotate the 3D input patches by a degree chosen from a set of R possible degrees. We consider multiples of 90° along each axis of the 3D coordinate system, resulting in 10 rotation degrees that can be classified by the model. The task was formulated as a 10-way classification problem with the model minimizing the cross-entropy $\mathcal{L}_{rot}(y_r^{rot}, \hat{y}_r^{rot})$ for each rotated image.

Masked Image Modeling. MIM is a prominent SSL technique that has emerged as a potent pretraining method. It operates by masking certain parts of an image and then leveraging the unmasked parts to reconstruct the masked parts. This approach effectively handles local features, and its efficacy in 3D medical image analysis was validated by [16]. We pretrain our model using the SimMIM [90] method for 3D brain images. The associated loss is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{MIM} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathbf{x}_M)} \left\| \mathbf{y}_M - \mathbf{x}_M \right\|_1 \tag{5}$$

Here, x and y are the input and predicted patches respectively; M denotes the set of masked pixels; Ω is the number of elements.

3.3 Contrastive Learning

Recently, self-supervised learning techniques in the form of contrastive learning have emerged for deriving powerful representations by contrasting sample pairs [63, 82, 83]. In this study, contrastive coding was utilized to effectively learn the representations for a batch of augmented patches. Contrastive coding maximizes the mutual information for positive pairs (augmented patches from the same sample) and minimizes it for negative pairs (patches from different samples within a batch). More details are in the Supplementary Material. To determine our contrastive coding's loss, we added a linear layer to the Swin transformer encoder, mapping each augmented patch to a latent representation, z. This process is illustrated in Fig.2 (g). The distance between the encoded representations was measured using cosine similarity. Specifically, the 3D contrastive coding loss between patch pairs z_i and z_j is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{contrast} = -\log \frac{\exp(f_{sim}(z_i, z_j)/\tau)}{\sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{2N} \exp(f_{sim}(z_i, z_k)/\tau)}$$
(6)

Here, τ is a measure of the normalized temperature scale and f_{sim} denotes the dot product between normalized embeddings.

3.4 Loss Function

The core idea of our framework is to learn the representation that captures both the 3D context and the characteristics of brain anatomy and morphology. The domain-aware, self-supervised, and total losses are calculated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{domain} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{anatomy} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{morpho} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{radiomics} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{self} = \lambda_4 \mathcal{L}_{rot} + \lambda_5 \mathcal{L}_{loc} + \lambda_6 \mathcal{L}_{MIM} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{domain} + \mathcal{L}_{self} + \lambda_7 \mathcal{L}_{contrast} \tag{9}$$

The weights were empirically set to $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 1$, and $\lambda_1 = 0.2$.

4 Experiments and Results

4.1 Experimental Setup

Datasets. In this study, we collected total of 13,687 samples of T1-weighted MRI data from multi-source large-scale databases. These included the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) [41,61,67], Human Connectome Project (HCP) [85], Information eXtraction from Images (IXI) [6], Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange (ABIDE) [20,21], Effects of TBI & PTSD on Alzheimer's Disease in Vietnam Vets (DOD ADNI) [88], International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM) [60], and Anti-Amyloid Treatment in Asymptomatic Alzheimer's (A4) [19]. Further dataset details are provided in the Supplementary Materials. **Methods Comparison.** We compared our model with the following existing 3D-based methods: (1) four 3D-CNN based methods, including 3D ResNet50,

3D ResNet10, 3D DenseNet121, and 3D DenseNet201. These models are widely used for AD classification [24,45,50,69,74,93]. (2) Since these methods were not designed for 3D medical images, we also employed a 3D-CNN based model for medical images [69] which was proposed for accurate AD diagnosis. (3) Additionally, we employed pretrained CNN-based models, that is, the I3D proposed by [10] and MedicalNet presented by [13]. (4) We also consider transformer-based methods, 3D ViT and 3D Swin transformers, without pretraining for comparison. Further details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Model evaluation and downstream tasks. We conducted three different downstream tasks (i.e., AD classification, PD classification, and age prediction) and compared their performances against those of competing models. For model evaluation, we employ five-fold cross-validation to report the mean of performance metrics. First, we compared the performance of our method with existing competing methods for AD using ADNI (total: 1,869, CN: 639, MCI: 886, and AD: 344), AIBL (total: 525, CN: 434, and AD: 91), and Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS) [55, 56, 73] (total: 817; CN: 676; AD: 141). Note that the ADNI datasets employed for the downstream tasks did not overlap with those considered for pretraining and were independently separated datasets. Additionally, we used independent AD datasets, such as AIBL and OASIS, which are not utilized in the training stage. Second, we evaluated our model by comparing it with existing competing methods for PD using the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) [57, 58] dataset (total: 663, CN: 161, and PD: 502) Third, a comparative study of chronological age prediction was performed using the ADNI datasets. Performance metrics varied for each task. For AD and PD classification, we assessed accuracy and area under the curve (AUC). For the age prediction task, the mean absolute error (MAE) and R^2 scores were utilized to evaluate performance. Additionally, we also extended well-known SSL frameworks, such as MoCo v2, BYOL, and DINO to 3D methods compared them with our model. For detailed settings, please refer to the Supplementary Materials.

Implementation details. We utilized a 3D Swin transformer as our backbone framework and trained it with the proposed pretext tasks described in the Supplementary Materials. We used the AdamW [54] optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.0005, and the pretraining process was run for 300 epochs with a linear warmup and a cosine annealing learning rate scheduler. Further information on the training hyperparameters can be found in the Supplementary Materials. We implemented our model using PyTorch [65] and MONAI [8] and trained them on four A100 80GB GPUs.

4.2 Experimental Results

Alzheimer's disease classification. We compared the performance of our method with existing competing methods for AD using ADNI, AIBL, and OA-SIS. Table 1 presents the comparison results of various AD classification tasks in terms of accuracy and AUC. Overall, our model exhibited superior performance compared with the other models across downstream tasks. Despite the challenges in capturing structural changes between AD and MCI, and between MCI and

Table 1: Performance evaluation of the pretrained Swin Transformer (ours) and comparison models in Alzheimer's disease classification. Four tasks were performed: binary classification between AD and CN, AD and MCI, MCI and CN, and a multi-class classification of AD vs. MCI vs. CN. Bold denotes the best performance in each column.

Task	AD vs CN		AD vs MCI		MCI vs CN		AD vs MCI vs CN	
Model	Acc	AUC	Acc	AUC	Acc	AUC	Acc	AUC
3D ResNet50 [38]	0.9063	0.9182	0.7250	0.6890	0.6625	0.6647	0.6383	0.7126
3D ResNet101 [38]	0.8751	0.8771	0.7171	0.7032	0.6683	0.6559	0.6317	0.7086
3D DenseNet121 [39]	0.9187	0.9191	0.7364	0.7368	0.6850	0.7007	0.6518	0.7329
3D DenseNet201 [39]	0.9201	0.9234	0.7385	0.7248	0.6857	0.6986	0.6483	0.7252
3D ViT [23]	0.8125	0.8220	0.6801	0.6638	0.6011	0.5956	0.5694	0.5975
I3D [10]	0.9135	0.9056	0.7362	0.7295	0.6929	0.6654	0.6409	0.7202
MedicalNet [13]	0.9292	0.9309	0.7338	0.7337	0.6824	0.7042	0.6492	0.7291
Qiu et al. [69]	0.9286	0.9438	0.7472	0.7442	0.6902	0.7063	0.6562	0.7358
3D Swin Tr (scratch) [53]	0.9227	0.9204	0.7457	0.7496	0.6832	0.7020	0.6551	0.7342
3D Swin Tr (ours)	0.9462	0.9623	0.7721	0.7796	0.7037	0.7275	0.6761	0.7521

CN, our model using the proposed method demonstrated successful classification. These results support the idea that various structural changes in the brain (such as atrophy of the cerebral cortex, enlargement of the ventricular areas, and shrinkage of the hippocampal volume) are progressing during AD [1, 51, 69]and these changes are visible on MRI to distinguish among the three AD classes. Additionally, we validated the generalizability of our model by comparing the AD classification performance on independent datasets, including the AIBL and OASIS datasets. For AD/CN classification, our model outperformed all competing methods on all datasets. Our model demonstrated the best performance on ADNI, AIBL, and OASIS, respectively, as presented in Tables 1 and 2. Moreover, compared to the 3D Swin Transformer without pretraining, our model showcased a significant improvement in prediction performance on ADNI, AIBL, and OA-SIS. These results suggest that our model effectively captures structural changes in the brain and consistently delivers high performance. The natural progression of AD starts from the CN, then to the MCI, and finally to AD. Therefore, tasks that distinguish between AD and CN are relatively easy, because the two classes occupy the two extreme ends of the spectrum. Thus, the tasks differentiating AD and MCI, as well as MCI and CN, are relatively difficult, owing to their relative proximity in the spectrum. Our results empirically confirmed these challenges.

Parkinson's diseases classification. We compared the performance of our method with existing competing methods for PD using the PPMI dataset. Table 2 presents quantitative comparisons of the PD classification tasks in terms of accuracy and AUC. The performance of PD classification is relatively low compared to that of AD, owing to subtle structural differences between the brains of patients with PD and healthy individuals [4, 78]. For instance, minor volume reductions in the substantia nigra or other relevant brain areas have been reported. However, these variations are potentially influenced by individual differences or other factors, making it difficult to diagnose PD conclusively [7, 28, 44]. Overall, despite the inherent challenge of distinguishing between PD and CN using only structural MRI, our proposed model demonstrated a significant performance improvement by detecting subtle structural changes.

Table 2: Comparison of model performance across various datasets and downstream tasks. AD classification refers to the binary classification between AD and CN, while PD classification denotes the binary classification between PD and CN. Bold denotes the best performance in each column.

Task	AD classification				PD classification		Age prediction	
Dataset	AI	BL	OASIS		PPMI		ADNI	
Model	Acc	AUC	Acc	AUC	Acc	AUC	MAE	R^2
3D ResNet50 [38]	0.8872	0.8728	0.8536	0.8273	0.7028	0.6128	4.6429	0.7368
3D ResNet101 [38]	0.8631	0.8652	0.8624	0.8186	0.7087	0.6024	4.8207	0.7135
3D DenseNet121 [39]	0.9164	0.9287	0.8595	0.8736	0.7356	0.6527	4.4230	0.7487
3D DenseNet201 [39]	0.9267	0.9317	0.8551	0.8663	0.7294	0.6493	4.5148	0.7378
3D ViT [23]	0.8768	0.8416	0.7837	0.7716	0.6465	0.5520	5.6476	0.6472
I3D [10]	0.9181	0.9253	0.8483	0.8569	0.7062	0.6346	4.6544	0.7335
MedicalNet [13]	0.9251	0.9358	0.8679	0.8832	0.7211	0.6471	4.6932	0.7379
Qiu et al. [69]	0.9237	0.9339	0.8465	0.8682	0.7509	0.6708	4.3750	0.7574
3D Swin Tr (scratch) [53]	0.9201	0.9214	0.8660	0.8722	0.7323	0.6589	4.4803	0.7670
3D Swin Tr (ours)	0.9372	0.9531	0.8809	0.8915	0.7586	0.6782	3.9138	0.7886

Age prediction. We compared the performance of our method with existing competing approaches for the task of chronological age prediction tasks using the ADNI dataset. Table 2 presents the comparison results of the MAE and R^2 score. For chronological age prediction, our model performed the best. These results suggest that the general capability of our method in effectively discerning brain's structural nuances and age-related variations, such as reductions in overall brain volume and regional shrinkage [27], which manifests as volume atrophy of the frontal and temporal lobes starting in post middle-age and a notable enlargement in the central ventricles [71, 79].

Comparision with other SSL frameworks. We also compared our model with well-known SSL frameworks, that is, MoCo v2, BYOL, and DINO by extending them to 3D methods. Our model trained with pretext multi-tasks exhibited the highest performance, while the closest baseline displayed a relatively lower performance, as illustrated in Table 3. These results suggest that the competing methods are specialized for 2D natural images, where it is relatively straightforward and easy to distinguish between instances and learn the features. However, 3D medical images have complex structures with similar morphologies, which makes it difficult to distinguish between instances. The model pretrained with SimMIM alone displayed the second-highest performance. Previous research has demonstrated that MIM can significantly enhance 3D medical image analysis [90]. We believe that MIM is a potent pretraining strategy; thus, incorporating MIM has led to even more performance improvements in our approach.

4.3 Effectiveness of Each Self-Supervised Task

To evaluate the impact of each pretext task separately, we pretrain models using a single task. We tested them on various downstream tasks, as described above. The performance was assessed using a five-fold cross-validation, as illustrated in Fig. 4. We conduct binary classification between AD and CN for the ADNI, AIBL, and OASIS datasets. Overall, the performance of the pretrained

with other self-supervised methods.

Table 3: Comparison of AD classifi- Table 4: Ablation study to evaluate the cation performance on ADNI dataset AD classification performance of various task combinations on ADNI dataset.



Fig. 4: The comparison of downstream tasks performance with varying pretext tasks for pretraining. The average accuracy (top) and AUC (bottom) for five-fold crossvalidation are reported in each box plot.

model with the MIM task alone was the highest for all downstream tasks. From these results, we showcase that MIM effectively captures the structural context of images during pretraining. In addition, the novel tasks we proposed effectively learned structural information compared with MIM. Although most performance differences resembled those observed in AD classification, it is noteworthy that the radiomics texture prediction task excelled in PD classification. This suggests that subtle texture variations within brain regions can be crucial for PD classification, given the less-pronounced structural changes associated with PD [49].

Effectiveness of Multi Self-Supervised Tasks **4.4**

To evaluate the impact of combining different pretext tasks, we conducted experiments using various multi-task setups, as listed in Table 4. Overall, our approach of integrating self-supervised tasks with contrastive learning exhibited a significantly improved performance over models pre-trained on a single task. Specifically, the model pretrained with domain-aware tasks alone showcased a significant performance improvement compared with the best single-task pretrained model. The self-supervised tasks alone, such as rotation prediction, location prediction, and MIM, demonstrated a slight improvement over using MIM alone. Through this, we believe that by conducting various tasks in a multitasking manner for pretraining, it is possible to learn structural information in a more diverse manner, leading to performance improvement. It also highlights the importance of effectively capturing both semantic and local information when learning about brain structural features. Additionally, combining our domainaware tasks with other tasks confirmed that we could effectively grasp the overall context and structural information of the brain, which aids in AD classification.

4.5 Reducing the amount of manual labeling

The data size is important for downstream tasks. We compared the pretrained Swin transformer model and the Swin transformer without pretraining for a downstream task (AD classification). The comparison was conducted by adjusting the size of the finetuning data, ranging from 10% to 100% of the labeled dataset. As presented in Fig. 5, the pretrained model outperformed the scratch model across all data sizes



Fig. 5: The AUC graphs of the scratch model and pretrained model of the Swin Transformer according to the percentage of labeled data for the AD classification.

in terms of the average AUC. Specifically, the pretrained model displays a significantly improved performance even when 10% of the labeled data is adopted These results indicate that our model learns the data more effectively at a faster rate than the scratch model.

5 Visualization Explanations for AD Classification

AD is a complex debilitating neurodegenerative disorder. It is characterized by the accumulation of beta-amyloid and tau proteins in the brain, leading to neuronal injury, synaptic dysfunction, and cognitive impairment. The pathological hallmarks of AD are widespread and manifest as cerebral cortex atrophy, ventricular enlargement, and hippocampal volume loss. The natural course of AD involves gradual progression from CN to MCI, and finally to AD. MCI is a transitional state between the CN and AD, where brain alterations that occur in MCI are heterogeneous and can range from mild to severe, affecting different regions and functions of the brain. Therefore, distinguishing between AD and CN is relatively straightforward, whereas differentiating between AD and MCI, and between MCI and CN, is more challenging due to their close proximity to the disease spectrum. We compared the activated areas of our pretrained model and a scratch-learned model on the AD classification task using GradCAM++ and M3d-CAM [11,32]. From these results, we visually interpret imaging patterns as follows: (1) Our findings reveal that the pretrained model successfully detected both the hippocampus and corpus callosum in early MCI stages, as depicted in the bottom left of Fig. 6. However, the scratch model detected only the hippocampus, as presented in the top left of Fig. 6. (2) Our approach successfully identified the precuneus and prefrontal cortex, which are important for cognitive



Fig. 6: Visualization of the network's attention map. The dashed line indicates various regions of interest. Top: scratch, bottom: pretrained.

function, and are strongly associated with AD in the transition from the MCI to AD stages [64] as presented in the bottom middle of Fig. 6. (3) In the AD stage, our pretrained method detects not only the ventricular region but also the right temporal lobe reduction, which is a well-known AD biomarker [46] as indicated in the bottom right of Fig. 6. In contrast, the scratch model detected only the ventricular region, as illustrated in the top right of Fig. 6. These findings suggest that our pretrained model is capable of capturing the most common AD progression patterns and is more interpretable than the scratch model.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a pretraining method that integrates a novel domain recognition task with self-supervised task adapted to brain MRI data. The model was pre-trained using a substantial dataset of 13,687 brain MRI samples obtained from several large databases. We evaluated our pretrained method on three downstream tasks: AD classification, PD classification, and age prediction. The experimental results show the effectiveness of the multitasking approach in learning structural properties of the brain. The study also highlights that pretraining models with tasks specifically designed for structural MRI images of the brain can be used as a powerful pretraining tool to capture structural changes.

Acknowledgement. This study was partly supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2020M3E5D2A01084892, NRF-2022R1F1A1068529), Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R015-D1), AI Graduate School Support Program(Sungkyunkwan University) (RS-2019-II190421), Artificial Intelligence Graduate School Program (GIST) (RS-2021-II212068), Artificial Intelligence Innovation Hub (RS-2021-II212068), and ICT Creative Consilience program (RS-2020-II201821).

References

- Apostolova, L.G., Green, A.E., Babakchanian, S., Hwang, K.S., Chou, Y.Y., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M.: Hippocampal atrophy and ventricular enlargement in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment and alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer disease and associated disorders 26(1), 17 (2012) 6, 10
- Ardakani, A.A., Bureau, N.J., Ciaccio, E.J., Acharya, U.R.: Interpretation of radiomics features-a pictorial review. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 215, 106609 (2022) 6
- Azizi, S., Mustafa, B., Ryan, F., Beaver, Z., Freyberg, J., Deaton, J., Loh, A., Karthikesalingam, A., Kornblith, S., Chen, T., et al.: Big self-supervised models advance medical image classification. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 3478–3488 (2021) 4
- Betrouni, N., Moreau, C., Rolland, A.S., Carrière, N., Chupin, M., Kuchcinski, G., Lopes, R., Viard, R., Defebvre, L., Devos, D.: Texture-based markers from structural imaging correlate with motor handicap in parkinson's disease. Scientific Reports 11(1), 2724 (2021) 10
- Betzel, R.F., Bassett, D.S.: Multi-scale brain networks. Neuroimage 160, 73–83 (2017) 6
- Biomedical Image Analysis Group Imperial College London Centre for the Developing Brain King's College London: Information eXtraction From Images. https://brain-development.org/ixi-dataset/ (2018), accessed: December 15, 2022 8, 22
- Burton, E.J., McKeith, I.G., Burn, D.J., Williams, E.D., O'Brien, J.T.: Cerebral atrophy in parkinson's disease with and without dementia: a comparison with alzheimer's disease, dementia with lewy bodies and controls. Brain 127(4), 791– 800 (2004) 10
- Cardoso, M.J., Li, W., Brown, R., Ma, N., Kerfoot, E., Wang, Y., Murrey, B., Myronenko, A., Zhao, C., Yang, D., et al.: Monai: An open-source framework for deep learning in healthcare. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.02701 (2022) 9
- Caron, M., Touvron, H., Misra, I., Jégou, H., Mairal, J., Bojanowski, P., Joulin, A.: Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. pp. 9650–9660 (2021) 3, 5, 12, 25, 26
- Carreira, J., Zisserman, A.: Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In: proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 6299–6308 (2017) 4, 9, 10, 11
- Chattopadhay, A., Sarkar, A., Howlader, P., Balasubramanian, V.N.: Gradcam++: Generalized gradient-based visual explanations for deep convolutional networks. In: 2018 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). pp. 839–847. IEEE (2018) 13
- Chen, L., Bentley, P., Mori, K., Misawa, K., Fujiwara, M., Rueckert, D.: Selfsupervised learning for medical image analysis using image context restoration. Medical image analysis 58, 101539 (2019) 4
- 13. Chen, S., Ma, K., Zheng, Y.: Med3d: Transfer learning for 3d medical image analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.00625 (2019) 9, 10, 11
- Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., Hinton, G.: A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In: III, H.D., Singh, A. (eds.) Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, vol. 119, pp. 1597–1607. PMLR (13–18 Jul 2020), https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/chen20j.html 3

- 16 Kim et al.
- Chen, X., Fan, H., Girshick, R., He, K.: Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.04297 (2020) 3, 12, 26
- Chen, Z., Agarwal, D., Aggarwal, K., Safta, W., Balan, M.M., Brown, K.: Masked image modeling advances 3d medical image analysis. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. pp. 1970– 1980 (2023) 7
- Cruces, R.R., Royer, J., Herholz, P., Larivière, S., Vos de Wael, R., Paquola, C., Benkarim, O., yong Park, B., Degré-Pelletier, J., Nelson, M.C., DeKraker, J., Leppert, I.R., Tardif, C., Poline, J.B., Concha, L., Bernhardt, B.C.: Micapipe: A pipeline for multimodal neuroimaging and connectome analysis. NeuroImage 263, 119612 (2022). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2022.119612, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S1053811922007273 22, 24
- Desikan, R.S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B.T., Dickerson, B.C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R.L., Dale, A.M., Maguire, R.P., Hyman, B.T., et al.: An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on mri scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage **31**(3), 968–980 (2006) 24
- Deters, K.D., Napolioni, V., Sperling, R.A., Greicius, M.D., Mayeux, R., Hohman, T., Mormino, E.C.: Amyloid pet imaging in self-identified non-hispanic black participants of the anti-amyloid in asymptomatic alzheimer's disease (a4) study. Neurology 96(11), e1491–e1500 (2021) 8, 23
- 20. Di Martino, A., O'connor, D., Chen, B., Alaerts, K., Anderson, J.S., Assaf, M., Balsters, J.H., Baxter, L., Beggiato, A., Bernaerts, S., et al.: Enhancing studies of the connectome in autism using the autism brain imaging data exchange ii. Scientific data 4(1), 1–15 (2017) 8, 23
- Di Martino, A., Yan, C.G., Li, Q., Denio, E., Castellanos, F.X., Alaerts, K., Anderson, J.S., Assaf, M., Bookheimer, S.Y., Dapretto, M., et al.: The autism brain imaging data exchange: towards a large-scale evaluation of the intrinsic brain architecture in autism. Molecular psychiatry 19(6), 659–667 (2014) 8, 23
- Doersch, C., Gupta, A., Efros, A.A.: Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. pp. 1422–1430 (2015) 3, 7
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., Uszkoreit, J., Houlsby, N.: An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In: International Conference on Learning Representations (2021), https://openreview. net/forum?id=YicbFdNTTy 2, 10, 11
- Ebrahimi, A., Luo, S., Chiong, R.: Introducing transfer learning to 3d resnet-18 for alzheimer's disease detection on mri images. In: 2020 35th international conference on image and vision computing New Zealand (IVCNZ). pp. 1–6. IEEE (2020) 9
- Fan, L., Li, H., Zhuo, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Chen, L., Yang, Z., Chu, C., Xie, S., Laird, A.R., et al.: The human brainnetome atlas: a new brain atlas based on connectional architecture. Cerebral cortex 26(8), 3508–3526 (2016) 5
- 26. Fischl, B.: Freesurfer. Neuroimage **62**(2), 774–781 (2012) 24
- Fjell, A.M., Walhovd, K.B.: Structural brain changes in aging: courses, causes and cognitive consequences. Reviews in the Neurosciences 21(3), 187–222 (2010) 6, 11
- de la Fuente-Fernández, R.: Role of datscan and clinical diagnosis in parkinson disease. Neurology 78(10), 696–701 (2012) 10
- 29. Gidaris, S., Singh, P., Komodakis, N.: Unsupervised Representation Learning by Predicting Image Rotations. In: ICLR 2018. Vancouver, Canada (Apr 2018), https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01864755 7

- Glasser, M.F., Coalson, T.S., Robinson, E.C., Hacker, C.D., Harwell, J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Andersson, J., Beckmann, C.F., Jenkinson, M., et al.: A multimodal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. Nature 536(7615), 171–178 (2016)
 5
- Gordillo, N., Montseny, E., Sobrevilla, P.: State of the art survey on mri brain tumor segmentation. Magnetic resonance imaging 31(8), 1426–1438 (2013) 1
- Gotkowski, K., Gonzalez, C., Bucher, A., Mukhopadhyay, A.: M3d-cam: A pytorch library to generate 3d attention maps for medical deep learning. In: Bildverarbeitung für die Medizin 2021: Proceedings, German Workshop on Medical Image Computing, Regensburg, March 7-9, 2021. pp. 217–222. Springer (2021) 13
- 33. Grill, J.B., Strub, F., Altché, F., Tallec, C., Richemond, P., Buchatskaya, E., Doersch, C., Avila Pires, B., Guo, Z., Gheshlaghi Azar, M., et al.: Bootstrap your own latent-a new approach to self-supervised learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 33, 21271–21284 (2020) 3, 12, 26
- 34. Hatamizadeh, A., Nath, V., Tang, Y., Yang, D., Roth, H.R., Xu, D.: Swin unetr: Swin transformers for semantic segmentation of brain tumors in mri images. In: Brainlesion: Glioma, Multiple Sclerosis, Stroke and Traumatic Brain Injuries: 7th International Workshop, BrainLes 2021, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2021, Virtual Event, September 27, 2021, Revised Selected Papers, Part I. pp. 272–284. Springer (2022) 26
- Havaei, M., Davy, A., Warde-Farley, D., Biard, A., Courville, A., Bengio, Y., Pal, C., Jodoin, P.M., Larochelle, H.: Brain tumor segmentation with deep neural networks. Medical image analysis 35, 18–31 (2017) 1
- He, K., Chen, X., Xie, S., Li, Y., Dollár, P., Girshick, R.: Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 16000–16009 (2022) 3
- 37. He, K., Fan, H., Wu, Y., Xie, S., Girshick, R.: Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 9729–9738 (2020) 3, 26
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 770–778 (2016) 2, 10, 11
- Huang, G., Liu, Z., Van Der Maaten, L., Weinberger, K.Q.: Densely connected convolutional networks. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 4700–4708 (2017) 2, 10, 11
- Im, K., Lee, J.M., Lyttelton, O., Kim, S.H., Evans, A.C., Kim, S.I.: Brain size and cortical structure in the adult human brain. Cerebral cortex 18(9), 2181–2191 (2008) 6
- 41. Jack Jr, C.R., Bernstein, M.A., Fox, N.C., Thompson, P., Alexander, G., Harvey, D., Borowski, B., Britson, P.J., L. Whitwell, J., Ward, C., et al.: The alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (adni): Mri methods. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging: An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 27(4), 685–691 (2008) 8, 22
- Jenkinson, M., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E., Woolrich, M.W., Smith, S.M.: Fsl. Neuroimage 62(2), 782–790 (2012) 24
- Jun, E., Jeong, S., Heo, D.W., Suk, H.I.: Medical transformer: Universal brain encoder for 3d mri analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13633 (2021) 5
- Kalia, L.V., Lang, A.E.: Parkinson's disease. The Lancet **386**(9996), 896–912 (2015) 10

- 18 Kim et al.
- Karasawa, H., Liu, C.L., Ohwada, H.: Deep 3d convolutional neural network architectures for alzheimer's disease diagnosis. In: Intelligent Information and Database Systems: 10th Asian Conference, ACIIDS 2018, Dong Hoi City, Vietnam, March 19-21, 2018, Proceedings, Part I 10. pp. 287–296. Springer (2018) 9
- 46. Killiany, R.J., Moss, M.B., Albert, M.S., Sandor, T., Tieman, J., Jolesz, F.: Temporal lobe regions on magnetic resonance imaging identify patients with early alzheimer's disease. Archives of neurology 50(9), 949–954 (1993) 14
- 47. Kim, J., Park, H.: Multi-modal cross attention network for predicting pathological complete response in breast cancer mri. In: 2023 5th International Conference on Control and Robotics (ICCR). pp. 250–254. IEEE (2023) 2
- Kim, J., Park, H.: Radiomics-guided multimodal self-attention network for predicting pathological complete response in breast mri. In: 2024 IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI). pp. 1–5 (2024). https://doi.org/10. 1109/ISBI56570.2024.10635671 2
- 49. Korda, A.I., Andreou, C., Rogg, H.V., Avram, M., Ruef, A., Davatzikos, C., Koutsouleris, N., Borgwardt, S.: Identification of texture mri brain abnormalities on first-episode psychosis and clinical high-risk subjects using explainable artificial intelligence. Translational Psychiatry 12(1), 481 (2022) 12
- Korolev, S., Safiullin, A., Belyaev, M., Dodonova, Y.: Residual and plain convolutional neural networks for 3d brain mri classification. In: 2017 IEEE 14th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2017). pp. 835–838. IEEE (2017)
- Ledig, C., Schuh, A., Guerrero, R., Heckemann, R.A., Rueckert, D.: Structural brain imaging in alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment: biomarker analysis and shared morphometry database. Scientific reports 8(1), 11258 (2018) 6, 10
- 52. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B.E., Setio, A.A.A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., Van Der Laak, J.A., Van Ginneken, B., Sánchez, C.I.: A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical image analysis 42, 60–88 (2017) 2
- 53. Liu, Z., Lin, Y., Cao, Y., Hu, H., Wei, Y., Zhang, Z., Lin, S., Guo, B.: Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. pp. 10012–10022 (2021) 2, 10, 11
- 54. Loshchilov, I., Hutter, F.: Decoupled weight decay regularization. In: International Conference on Learning Representations 9
- Marcus, D.S., Fotenos, A.F., Csernansky, J.G., Morris, J.C., Buckner, R.L.: Open access series of imaging studies: longitudinal mri data in nondemented and demented older adults. Journal of cognitive neuroscience 22(12), 2677–2684 (2010) 9, 24
- 56. Marcus, D.S., Wang, T.H., Parker, J., Csernansky, J.G., Morris, J.C., Buckner, R.L.: Open access series of imaging studies (oasis): cross-sectional mri data in young, middle aged, nondemented, and demented older adults. Journal of cognitive neuroscience 19(9), 1498–1507 (2007) 9, 24
- 57. Marek, K., Chowdhury, S., Siderowf, A., Lasch, S., Coffey, C.S., Caspell-Garcia, C., Simuni, T., Jennings, D., Tanner, C.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., et al.: The parkinson's progression markers initiative (ppmi)–establishing a pd biomarker cohort. Annals of clinical and translational neurology 5(12), 1460–1477 (2018) 9, 24
- Marek, K., Jennings, D., Lasch, S., Siderowf, A., Tanner, C., Simuni, T., Coffey, C., Kieburtz, K., Flagg, E., Chowdhury, S., et al.: The parkinson progression marker initiative (ppmi). Progress in neurobiology 95(4), 629–635 (2011) 9, 24

- Mayerhoefer, M.E., Materka, A., Langs, G., Häggström, I., Szczypiński, P., Gibbs, P., Cook, G.: Introduction to radiomics. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 61(4), 488– 495 (2020) 6
- Mazziotta, J., Toga, A., Evans, A., Fox, P., Lancaster, J., Zilles, K., Woods, R., Paus, T., Simpson, G., Pike, B., et al.: A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: International consortium for brain mapping (icbm). Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 356(1412), 1293–1322 (2001) 8, 23
- Mueller, S.G., Weiner, M.W., Thal, L.J., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., Jagust, W., Trojanowski, J.Q., Toga, A.W., Beckett, L.: Ways toward an early diagnosis in alzheimer's disease: the alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (adni). Alzheimer's & Dementia 1(1), 55–66 (2005) 8, 22
- Noroozi, M., Favaro, P.: Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw puzzles. In: Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI. pp. 69–84. Springer (2016) 3
- Oord, A.v.d., Li, Y., Vinyals, O.: Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03748 (2018) 3, 8
- 64. Ossenkoppele, R., Cohn-Sheehy, B.I., La Joie, R., Vogel, J.W., Möller, C., Lehmann, M., van Berckel, B.N., Seeley, W.W., Pijnenburg, Y.A., Gorno-Tempini, M.L., et al.: Atrophy patterns in early clinical stages across distinct phenotypes of a lzheimer's disease. Human brain mapping 36(11), 4421–4437 (2015) 14
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., et al.: Pytorch: An imperative style, highperformance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019) 9
- Pathak, D., Krahenbuhl, P., Donahue, J., Darrell, T., Efros, A.A.: Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 2536–2544 (2016) 3
- Petersen, R.C., Aisen, P.S., Beckett, L.A., Donohue, M.C., Gamst, A.C., Harvey, D.J., Jack, C.R., Jagust, W.J., Shaw, L.M., Toga, A.W., et al.: Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (adni): clinical characterization. Neurology 74(3), 201–209 (2010) 8, 22
- Plassard, A.J., McHugo, M., Heckers, S., Landman, B.A.: Multi-scale hippocampal parcellation improves atlas-based segmentation accuracy. In: Medical Imaging 2017: Image Processing. vol. 10133, pp. 666–672. SPIE (2017) 6
- Qiu, S., Joshi, P.S., Miller, M.I., Xue, C., Zhou, X., Karjadi, C., Chang, G.H., Joshi, A.S., Dwyer, B., Zhu, S., et al.: Development and validation of an interpretable deep learning framework for alzheimer's disease classification. Brain 143(6), 1920– 1933 (2020) 1, 9, 10, 11
- Raghu, M., Unterthiner, T., Kornblith, S., Zhang, C., Dosovitskiy, A.: Do vision transformers see like convolutional neural networks? Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34, 12116–12128 (2021) 2
- Raz, N., Rodrigue, K.M.: Differential aging of the brain: patterns, cognitive correlates and modifiers. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 30(6), 730–748 (2006) 11
- Rolls, E.T., Huang, C.C., Lin, C.P., Feng, J., Joliot, M.: Automated anatomical labelling atlas 3. Neuroimage 206, 116189 (2020) 5
- Rowe, C.C., Ellis, K.A., Rimajova, M., Bourgeat, P., Pike, K.E., Jones, G., Fripp, J., Tochon-Danguy, H., Morandeau, L., O'Keefe, G., et al.: Amyloid imaging re-

sults from the australian imaging, biomarkers and lifestyle (aibl) study of aging. Neurobiology of aging 31(8), 1275–1283 (2010) 9, 23

- Ruiz, J., Mahmud, M., Modasshir, M., Shamim Kaiser, M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, f.t.: 3d densenet ensemble in 4-way classification of alzheimer's disease. In: Brain Informatics: 13th International Conference, BI 2020, Padua, Italy, September 19, 2020, Proceedings 13. pp. 85–96. Springer (2020) 9
- Schaefer, A., Kong, R., Gordon, E.M., Laumann, T.O., Zuo, X.N., Holmes, A.J., Eickhoff, S.B., Yeo, B.T.: Local-global parcellation of the human cerebral cortex from intrinsic functional connectivity mri. Cerebral cortex 28(9), 3095–3114 (2018)
 5
- Selva, J., Johansen, A.S., Escalera, S., Nasrollahi, K., Moeslund, T.B., Clapés, A.: Video transformers: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.05991 (2022) 2
- 77. Shamshad, F., Khan, S., Zamir, S.W., Khan, M.H., Hayat, M., Khan, F.S., Fu, H.: Transformers in medical imaging: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.09873 (2022) 2
- 78. Sikiö, M., Holli-Helenius, K.K., Harrison, L.C., Ryymin, P., Ruottinen, H., Saunamäki, T., Eskola, H.J., Elovaara, I., Dastidar, P.: Mr image texture in parkinson's disease: A longitudinal study. Acta Radiologica 56(1), 97–104 (2015) 10
- Sowell, E.R., Peterson, B.S., Thompson, P.M., Welcome, S.E., Henkenius, A.L., Toga, A.W.: Mapping cortical change across the human life span. Nature neuroscience 6(3), 309–315 (2003) 11
- Spitzer, H., Kiwitz, K., Amunts, K., Harmeling, S., Dickscheid, T.: Improving cytoarchitectonic segmentation of human brain areas with self-supervised siamese networks. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention– MICCAI 2018: 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16-20, 2018, Proceedings, Part III 11. pp. 663–671. Springer (2018) 4
- Sporns, O., Tononi, G., Kötter, R.: The human connectome: a structural description of the human brain. PLoS computational biology 1(4), e42 (2005) 6
- Taleb, A., Loetzsch, W., Danz, N., Severin, J., Gaertner, T., Bergner, B., Lippert, C.: 3d self-supervised methods for medical imaging. Advances in neural information processing systems 33, 18158–18172 (2020) 7, 8
- 83. Tang, Y., Yang, D., Li, W., Roth, H.R., Landman, B., Xu, D., Nath, V., Hatamizadeh, A.: Self-supervised pre-training of swin transformers for 3d medical image analysis. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 20730–20740 (2022) 3, 4, 8
- 84. Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., Joliot, M.: Automated anatomical labeling of activations in spm using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the mni mri single-subject brain. Neuroimage 15(1), 273–289 (2002) 5
- Van Essen, D.C., Ugurbil, K., Auerbach, E., Barch, D., Behrens, T.E., Bucholz, R., Chang, A., Chen, L., Corbetta, M., Curtiss, S.W., et al.: The human connectome project: a data acquisition perspective. Neuroimage 62(4), 2222–2231 (2012) 8, 22
- Van Griethuysen, J.J., Fedorov, A., Parmar, C., Hosny, A., Aucoin, N., Narayan, V., Beets-Tan, R.G., Fillion-Robin, J.C., Pieper, S., Aerts, H.J.: Computational radiomics system to decode the radiographic phenotype. Cancer research 77(21), e104–e107 (2017) 27
- Wang, J., Li, W., Miao, W., Dai, D., Hua, J., He, H.: Age estimation using cortical surface pattern combining thickness with curvatures. Medical & biological engineering & computing 52, 331–341 (2014) 6

- 88. Weiner, M.W., Harvey, D., Hayes, J., Landau, S.M., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Tosun, D., Veitch, D.P., Jack Jr, C.R., Decarli, C., et al.: Effects of traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder on development of alzheimer's disease in vietnam veterans using the alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative: preliminary report. Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions 3(2), 177–188 (2017) 8, 23
- Wen, J., Thibeau-Sutre, E., Diaz-Melo, M., Samper-González, J., Routier, A., Bottani, S., Dormont, D., Durrleman, S., Burgos, N., Colliot, O., et al.: Convolutional neural networks for classification of alzheimer's disease: Overview and reproducible evaluation. Medical image analysis 63, 101694 (2020) 1
- 90. Xie, Z., Zhang, Z., Cao, Y., Lin, Y., Bao, J., Yao, Z., Dai, Q., Hu, H.: Simmim: A simple framework for masked image modeling. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 9653–9663 (2022) 4, 7, 11, 12
- Zhai, X., Kolesnikov, A., Houlsby, N., Beyer, L.: Scaling vision transformers. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 12104–12113 (2022) 2
- Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Zhou, L., Yuan, H., Shen, D., Initiative, A.D.N., et al.: Multimodal classification of alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neuroimage 55(3), 856–867 (2011) 1
- 93. Zhang, J., Zheng, B., Gao, A., Feng, X., Liang, D., Long, X.: A 3d densely connected convolution neural network with connection-wise attention mechanism for alzheimer's disease classification. Magnetic Resonance Imaging 78, 119–126 (2021) 9
- Zhang, P., Wang, F., Zheng, Y.: Self supervised deep representation learning for fine-grained body part recognition. In: 2017 IEEE 14th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2017). pp. 578–582. IEEE (2017) 4
- Zhang, R., Isola, P., Efros, A.A.: Colorful image colorization. In: Leibe, B., Matas, J., Sebe, N., Welling, M. (eds.) Computer Vision – ECCV 2016. pp. 649–666. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2016) 3