Degradation and deactivation of plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance genes during exposure to ozone and chlorine

Younggun Yoon, Huan He, Michael C. Dodd, Yunho Lee

 PII:
 S0043-1354(21)00606-0

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117408

 Reference:
 WR 117408

To appear in: Water Research

Received date:	11 February 2021
Revised date:	29 June 2021
Accepted date:	30 June 2021

<text><image><image><image><section-header>

Please cite this article as: Younggun Yoon, Huan He, Michael C. Dodd, Yunho Lee, Degradation and deactivation of plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance genes during exposure to ozone and chlorine, *Water Research* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117408

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

# Highlights

- Degradation and deactivation kinetics of plasmid-borne ARG with ozone and chlorine
- Efficient deactivation of e- & i-ARG by ozone with minor cellular component impact
- Both chlorine and radicals contribute to e-ARG degradation during chlorination here
- Significant levels of e- & i-ARG deactivation by chlorine is achievable
- O<sub>3</sub>- & HOCl-induced plasmid DNA damages are repairable by *E. coli* recipient strains

Journal

# Degradation and deactivation of plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance genes during exposure to ozone and chlorine

Younggun Yoon<sup>a 1</sup>, Huan He<sup>b 1</sup>, Michael C. Dodd<sup>b\*</sup> and Yunho Lee<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Earth Sciences and Environmental Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), Gwangju 61005, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

98195, USA

<sup>1</sup>Y. Yoon and H. He contributed equally to this work \*Corresponding authors: Michael C. Dodd, Email: <u>doddm@uw.edu</u>

Yunho Lee, Email: <u>yhlee42@gist.ac.kr</u>

Submitted to Water Research

### Abstract

Degradation and deactivation kinetics of an antibiotic resistance gene (ARG) by ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and free available chlorine (FAC) were investigated in phosphate-buffered solutions at pH 7 for O<sub>3</sub> (in the presence of tert-butanol), and pH 6.8 or 8.1 for FAC. We used a plasmid (pUC19)-encoded ampicillin resistance gene  $(amp^R)$  in both extracellular (e-) and intracellular (i-) forms. The second-order rate constant ( $k_{O3}$ ) for degradation of 2686 base pair (bp) long e-pUC19 toward O<sub>3</sub>, which was determined by quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay, was calculated to be ~ $2\times10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. The deactivation rate constants of e-pUC19 by O<sub>3</sub> measured with various recipient E. coli strains were within a factor of 2 compared with the degradation rate constant for e-pUC19. The degradation/deactivation kinetics of i-pUC19 were similar to those of e-pUC19. indicating only a minor role of cellular components in O<sub>3</sub> reactivity toward i-pUC19. For FAC, the degradation and deactivation rates of e-pUC19 were decreased in the presence of *tert*-butanol, implying involvement of direct FAC as well as some radical (e.g., 'OH) reactions. The degradation rates of e- $amp^{R}$  segments by direct FAC reaction could be explained by a previouslyreported two-step sequential reaction model, in which the rate constants increased linearly with e $amp^{R}$  segment length. The deactivation rate constants of e-pUC19 during exposure to FAC were variable by a factor of up to 4.3 for the different recipient strains, revealing the role of DNA repair in the observed deactivation efficiencies. The degradation/deactivation of e-pUC19 were significantly faster at pH 6.8 than at pH 8.1 owing to pH-dependent FAC speciation variation, whereas i-pUC19 kinetics exhibited much smaller dependence on pH, demonstrating intracellular plasmid DNA reactions with FAC occurred at cytoplasmic pH (~7.5). Our results are useful for predicting and/or measuring the degradation/deactivation efficiency of plasmid-encoded ARGs by water treatment with ozonation and chlorination.

**Keywords**: antibiotic resistance genes, gene transformation, ozone, chlorine, radicals, DNA repair

### **1. Introduction**

Increasing antibiotic resistance is a global threat to human and animal health as it has lowered the effectiveness of antibiotic treatment against bacterial infections (United 2019). Although antibiotic resistance occurs naturally, the overuse and misuse of antibiotics have accelerated its dissemination amongst bacterial communities by selecting bacteria carrying antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) responsible for antibiotic resistance traits (Davies and Davies 2010).

ARGs are now considered as contaminants of concern in various aquatic environments, as ARG transfer via natural/anthropogenic water cycles can potentially contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance to pathogens (Czekalski et al. 2012, Dodd 2012, Pruden et al. 2006). ARGs exist in aquatic environments within bacterial cells (i.e., intracellularly) but also extracellularly; where the latter are generated by lysis of dead cells or secretion from live cells (Zhang et al. 2018). Both extra- (e-) and intracellular (i-) ARGs are of concern considering the potential for dissemination of antibiotic resistance (Dodd 2012, Nagler et al. 2018). Antibiotic resistance can be disseminated within bacterial populations by sharing of mobile ARGs contained within bacterial populations by sharing of mobile ARGs contained within bacteriophages, plasmids, and chromosomal DNA through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) processes including conjugation (cell-to-cell contact), transduction (viral delivery), and transformation (uptake of free DNA) (Thomas and Nielsen 2005).

Disinfection and oxidation of drinking water and wastewater serve as important barriers to the spread of pathogenic microorganisms in urban water systems (Jacangelo and Trussell 2002). Free available chlorine (FAC) and ozone  $(O_3)$  are widely used for disinfection, and  $O_3$  is also employed for chemical contaminant elimination (von Gunten 2018, Von Sonntag and Von Gunten 2012). The efficacy of water disinfection and oxidation processes in mitigating ARGs has received increasing attention (Dodd 2012). Various studies have employed quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays of DNA damage to show that FAC and O<sub>3</sub> are capable of degrading ARGs (Alexander et al. 2016, Choi et al. 2021b, Czekalski et al. 2016, He et al. 2019, Pak et al. 2016, Yoon et al. 2017). However, fundamental kinetic parameters (e.g., bimolecular rate constants) and molecular-level reaction models for ARG degradation by these oxidants are still scarce, which has hindered comparison and generalization of ARG removal efficacies by different water disinfectants/oxidants. Only a limited number of recent studies have reported such information on the degradation of plasmid-borne and/or chromosomal ARGs (Choi et al. 2021b, He et al. 2019, Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018), and none of these have specifically addressed O3 reactions with plasmid-borne ARGs. Furthermore, kinetics of ARGs' deactivation (i.e., loss of ARG transforming activity - where transforming activity is defined here as the ability of an intact ARG to confer a resistance trait to a non-resistant bacterial cell via the HGT process of transformation) have been investigated in only a few studies (Chang et al. 2017, He et al. 2019, Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018), none of which have focused specifically on the deactivation of *plasmid-borne* ARGs by O<sub>3</sub> and FAC.

qPCR methods have been widely used to quantify structurally-intact ARGs and monitor their degradation in water disinfection/oxidation (Alexander et al. 2016, Chang et al. 2017, Choi et al. 2021b, Czekalski et al. 2016, He et al. 2019, McKinney and Pruden 2012, Yoon et al. 2017, Yoon et al. 2018, Zhang et al. 2019). However, it is important to note that most qPCR methods monitor

only a part of a target ARG's sequence (e.g., segment sizes of 200–1000 base pairs [bps]), but not the entire sequence related to the biological activity of the ARG. Thus, short segment-based (e.g., ~200 bps) qPCR methods may underestimate ARG damage and associated loss of ARG transforming activity (Chang et al. 2017, He et al. 2019, Yoon et al. 2018). Moreover, qPCR methods do not simulate uptake and repair of DNA by recipient bacterial cells, which can play important roles in modulating transforming activity of ARGs (Chang et al. 2017, Yoon et al. 2018). In addition, the DNA replication efficiency in qPCR methods can be different from that in bacterial cells subjected to gene transformation (e.g., due to differences in DNA polymerases). Correlations between the degradation of target ARG segments (typically measured by qPCR) and the removal of ARG transforming activity (measured by transformation assay) have been found for some plasmid-borne (Chang et al. 2017, Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018) as well as chromosomal ARGs (He et al. 2019) during treatment with certain water disinfectants and oxidants, with explanations hypothesized based on molecular mechanisms of DNA transformation for the relevant bacterial strains (He et al. 2019, Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018). Nevertheless, further study is needed of the relationships between degradation and deactivation of ARGs by O<sub>3</sub> and FAC.

This investigation was in turn undertaken with the goals of (i) providing carefullydetermined kinetics parameters for degradation *and* deactivation of plasmid-borne ARGs by  $O_3$ and FAC, (ii) improving the fundamental understanding of how  $O_3$  and FAC contribute to damage of plasmid DNA and how that relates to observed ARG degradation and deactivation kinetics, and (iii) investigating the role of DNA damage repair in governing observed ARG deactivation kinetics by each oxidant. An overarching aim of this work was to advance the quantitative and conceptual foundations for characterizing, modeling, and predicting the fate of plasmid-borne ARGs during water and wastewater chlorination and ozonation. A plasmid (pUC19) carrying an

ampicillin resistance gene  $(amp^R)$  was used as an extracellular ARG (e-ARG), and *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$  containing pUC19 was used to represent an intracellular ARG (i-ARG). The eand i-pUC19 were prepared in phosphate-buffered solutions and exposed to different levels of O<sub>3</sub> (with *tert*-butanol) and FAC (without and with *tert*-butanol). The treated samples were analyzed by qPCR (for quantifying  $amp^R$  degradation), ARG transformation assays (for quantifying  $amp^R$ deactivation), and agarose gel electrophoresis. The observed kinetic behaviors were used to derive kinetic models and corresponding rate constants, and then applied to predict degradation rate constants for the entire pUC19 plasmid by each disinfectant. The ARG transformation assays employed five *E. coli* strains with varying DNA damage repair abilities, in order to assess the role of DNA repair on observed ARG deactivation kinetics. Finally, degradation and deactivation kinetics of  $amp^R$  were compared and discussed with respect to explaining and predicting the elimination efficiency of ARG transforming activities.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Standards and reagents

Chemicals and solvents (all of analytical grade or higher) were purchased from various commercial suppliers and used as received without further purification. Other reagents, culture media, and oxidant stock solutions are described in the Supporting Information (SI)-Text-1.

### 2.2. Preparation of E. coli cultures and plasmid DNA

*E. coli* K12 strain DH5 $\alpha$  (*recA*<sup>-</sup>, *endA*<sup>-</sup>) – where the parenthetic term indicates a deletion of the specified genes by genetic modification – was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). *E. coli* K12 mutants AB2480 (*uvrA*<sup>-</sup>, *recA*<sup>-</sup>), AB1186 (*uvrA*<sup>-</sup>), AB2463 (*recA*<sup>-</sup>), and AB1157 (wild-type) were provided by the Coli Genetic Stock Center (CGSC) at

Yale University. Cultures of *E. coli* K12 AB strains and DH5 $\alpha$  were grown to concentrations of ~10<sup>9</sup> colony-forming units (CFU)/mL according to methods described elsewhere (Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2017). Cells from the mid-exponential growth phase were used in this study. Plasmid pUC19 (2686 bps) is a commercially available *E. coli* vector encoding an ampicillin resistance gene (*amp*<sup>*R*</sup>, 861 bps). Plasmids were extracted from *E. coli* using the AccuPrep Nano-Plus Plasmid Extraction Kit (Bioneer), as described previously (Yoon et al. 2017). Plasmid concentrations were quantified with a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Products, Wilmington, DE, USA). The concentrations of extracted plasmid stocks (50 µL) were 0.5–1 mg/mL (~10<sup>10</sup> copies/mL).

#### 2.3. Ozonation and chlorination experiments

pUC19 or *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  cells were prepared separately in phosphate-buffered (PB) solutions (2 mM) at ~1 mg/L (3×10<sup>14</sup> copies/L or 3.2 µM of nucleotides) for the plasmid or ~5×10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL for *E. coli*. These samples were treated with O<sub>3</sub> (70 µM) or FAC (70 – 560 µM) in bench-scale experiments in which the oxidant concentrations were in molar excess of DNA. To study the fast kinetics of O<sub>3</sub> reactions, a continuous-flow, quenched-reaction system was used according to procedures described previously (He et al. 2019). All O<sub>3</sub> experiments were carried out in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM) to scavenge hydroxyl radical (\*OH) (Flyunt et al. 2003b). Moreover, 10 mM of *tert*-butanol on its own had negligible effect on the *amp*<sup>R</sup> segment in a control test conducted in the absence of O<sub>3</sub>. Chlorination was performed in a batch reactor, without and with *tert*-butanol (10 mM) to investigate the possible influence of radical reactions. Further details of the ozonation and chlorination experiments are provided in SI-Text-2.

### 2.4. qPCR and gel electrophoresis analyses

qPCR measurements were performed on a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad,

Hercules, CA, USA) or Eppendorf RealPlex Mastercycler (Hauppauge, NY, USA) with SsoFast<sup>TM</sup> EvaGreen® supermixes (Bio-Rad). Four DNA segments with respective lengths of 192, 400, 603, and 851 bp covering varying sections of  $amp^R$  were monitored (Table S1, Figures S1 & S2). pUC19 (1 µg/mL) samples before and after FAC and O<sub>3</sub> treatment were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Further details of the qPCR and gel electrophoresis analyses are provided in SI-Text-3.

#### 2.5. Plasmid transformation assays

Plasmid transformation assays were conducted by using the non-ampicillin resistant *E. coli* K12 and K12 mutant strains noted above as recipient cells. Further details on the transformation assays are described elsewhere (Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018), and also in SI-Text-4. Concentrations of transformant cells were determined by counting colonies on selective plates containing ampicillin after 24 h of incubation at 37°C. Final colony counts of recipient cells on nonselective plates without heat shock showed <10% variation (~2×10<sup>8</sup> for *E. coli* AB strains and ~3×10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL for *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ ), while final colony counts of transformant cells on selective plates with heat shock showed <50% variation between the *E. coli* strains (Nihemaiti et al. 2020). The transforming activity of a pUC19 (efficiency of gene transformation) was calculated as below, and ranged from 10<sup>-8</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup> (Figure S3).

Transforming activity =  $\frac{Transformant \ cells_{selective \ plate} \ (CFU/mL)}{Total \ E. coli \ cells_{nonselective \ plate} \ (CFU/mL)}$ 

#### 2.6. Statistical analyses

Data from independent triplicate experiments were pooled to perform least-squares linear regressions (using GraphPad Prism 7) or nonlinear regressions (using Microsoft Excel Solver) for determining second-order (or pseudo-first-order) rate constants and associated uncertainties

(standard errors) for each  $amp^{R}$  segment in its reactions with O<sub>3</sub> and FAC. Weighted linear regressions were applied to perform uncertainty-weighted regression analyses of  $amp^{R}$  segment-specific second-order rate constants vs segment length, or pseudo-first-order rate constants of each segment vs FAC concentrations, according to previously reported methods (He et al. 2019), since these rate constants themselves carry associated uncertainties.

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Degradation of e-ARGs by O<sub>3</sub>

Solutions containing pUC19 were exposed to increasing O<sub>3</sub> exposures (0–0.15 mgO<sub>3</sub>/L×min) at pH 7 (with <sup>•</sup>OH scavenged by *tert*-butanol). The results (Figure 1a) showed that the 192-bp eamp<sup>R</sup> segment was degraded by ~1.2-log at an O<sub>3</sub> exposure of 0.15 mgO<sub>3</sub>/L×min, whereas a 4-log decrease was achieved for the 851-bps e-amp<sup>R</sup> segment at this O<sub>3</sub> exposure. The degradation of eamp<sup>R</sup> segments measured by qPCR followed linear kinetics with increasing O<sub>3</sub> exposure (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.99, Figure 1a), indicating first-order reaction kinetics with respect to e-amp<sup>R</sup> and O<sub>3</sub>, respectively, and overall second-order reaction kinetics (Choi et al. 2021a). The second-order rate constants for the e-amp<sup>R</sup> segments' degradation by O<sub>3</sub> could be determined from the slopes of the curves shown in Figure 1a (i.e.,  $k_{O3,Amp} = 2.303 \times \text{slope}$ ), and were  $1.6(\pm 0.1) \times 10^4$ ,  $3.1(\pm 0.2) \times 10^4$ ,  $4.6(\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$ , and  $6.1(\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for the 192-, 400-, 603-, and 851-bp segments, respectively (Table 1). The increasing  $k_{O3,Amp}$  values of e-amp<sup>R</sup> segments with increasing segment size can be explained by the increasing number of potential O<sub>3</sub> reaction sites on longer segments. Our  $k_{O3,Amp}$  values were in good agreement with rate constants previously reported for similar-length segments (266-1017 bp) of the chromosomal *blt* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* (He et al. 2019), indicating similar reactivities of plasmid-borne and chromosomal DNA toward O<sub>3</sub>.

The  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  of e-pUC19 could be predicted by extrapolating the  $k_{O3,Amp}$  of each segment to the entire plasmid (i.e.,  $k_{O3,plasmid} = k_{O3,Amp} \times \frac{2686 \ bp}{amplicon \ length}$ , where amplicon length was 192, 400, 603, or 851 bp), based on the assumption that the  $O_3$  reactivity per bp is the same across the entire plasmid. The  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  values predicted from the 192-, 400-, 603-, and 851-bps segments were  $2.2 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$ ,  $2.1 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$ ,  $2.0 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$ , and  $1.9 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, yielding an average  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  value of  $2.1(\pm 0.2) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Alternatively, the  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  of the entire plasmid could be predicted by incorporating the total bp number of pUC19 (2686 bps) into the equation resulting from linear regression of  $k_{O3,Amp}$  vs. the number of AT+GC bps in each  $amp^{R}$  segment; that is,  $k_{O3,Amp}$  (in  $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ ) = 70.7×(# AT+GC) + (2.4×10<sup>3</sup>) (Figure S4). This yielded a similar  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  value of  $1.9(\pm 0.4) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The slope of this linear equation (=  $69\pm2$  (M AT+GC)<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) represents the sequence-independent, average rate constant for the reaction of O<sub>3</sub> with a single base pair of double-stranded (ds) plasmid DNA. A similar value of  $65\pm7$  (M AT+GC)<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> has been reported for the sequence-independent rate constant for the reaction of  $O_3$  with ds chromosomal DNA, using the *blt* gene (He et al. 2019). Overall, the  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  of e-pUC19 is estimated to be  $\sim 2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  based on the values obtained using the two different methods described above.

Structural change of pUC19 upon exposure to  $O_3$  was investigated by using agarose gel electrophoresis analyses (Figure 2a). The intact pUC19 before  $O_3$  exposure showed a band at a lower position than the linearized plasmid (i.e., *Eco*RI-treated pUC19), since plasmid DNA normally exists in a supercoiled form, which migrates faster than its linear form in agarose gels. The position of the band for the supercoiled form did not change upon  $O_3$  exposures in the presence of *tert*-butanol (0–0.15 mgO<sub>3</sub>/L×min). This suggests that the pUC19 retained its supercoiled structure without significant conformational change such as strand breakage, despite the expected significant level of nucleoside degradation of the plasmid DNA at the applied  $O_3$ 

exposures. Among nucleosides, the reactivity of  $O_3$  is high with thymidine  $(3.0 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$  and guanosine  $(1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , intermediate with cytidine  $(3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , and low with adenosine  $(16 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$  (Theruvathu et al. 2001) (where the terms in parentheses are *k* values for the reaction of  $O_3$  with the neutral form of each nucleoside). Consistent with the order of  $O_3$  reactivity of the nucleoside monomers, thymine and guanine bases were found to be the preferential degradation sites in ds plasmid DNA exposed to  $O_3$  (Sawadaishi et al. 1986). Based on a detailed product study with thymine and thymidine (Flyunt et al. 2002), ring opening products caused by C(5)-C(6) double bond cleavage are expected to be formed from the reaction of  $O_3$  with pyrimidine bases. Contrary to the results of the present study, the conversion of plasmid conformation from initial supercoiled to relaxed circular or linear forms was observed in a previous ozonation study (Sawadaishi et al. 1985). This could be due to the formation of °OH from the reaction of  $O_3$  with DNA as it is well known that °OH can induce DNA strand breakage (von Sonntag 2006). In the current study, °OH was fully scavenged by *tert*-butanol, resulting in negligible plasmid conformational change.

### 3.2. Deactivation of e-ARGs by O<sub>3</sub>

The deactivation of e-pUC19 by O<sub>3</sub> was investigated by analyzing the former's transforming activity using non-ampicillin-resistant recipient *E. coli* cells having varying DNA repair abilities. The transforming activity loss rate of O<sub>3</sub>-treated e-pUC19 was variable depending on the recipient strain used (Figure 1a). For the three repair-deficient *E. coli* mutants AB2480 (*uvr*A<sup>-</sup>, *rec*A<sup>-</sup>), AB1186 (*uvr*A<sup>-</sup>), and AB2463 (*rec*A<sup>-</sup>), no transformant was detectable at the lowest O<sub>3</sub> exposure applied (0.03 mgO<sub>3</sub>/L×min), indicating a >4-log decrease (Figure 1a). By assuming second-order reaction kinetics for e-pUC19 deactivation by O<sub>3</sub>, the  $k_{O3,transformation}$  value was in turn estimated to be  $\geq 2.5 \times 10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for the three *E. coli* strains deficient in DNA repair ability.

The loss of transforming activity was slower for *E. coli* AB1157 (wild-type) and DH5 $\alpha$  (*recA*<sup>-</sup>, *endA*<sup>-</sup>) than repair-deficient *E.coli* AB strains, and followed first-order kinetics with respect to O<sub>3</sub>. The  $k_{O3,transformation}$  values were calculated to be  $1.6(\pm 0.3) \times 10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for AB1157 and  $1.0(\pm 0.2) \times 10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for DH5 $\alpha$  from the slopes of plots of log<sub>10</sub>-scale transforming activity loss vs O<sub>3</sub> exposure (multiplied by a factor of 2.303).

 $k_{03}$  values for the degradation of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments, the full e-pUC19 plasmid (predicted), and the loss of plasmid transformation activity as measured using the various recipient strains are compared in Figure 3a and 3b. The estimated degradation rate constant for the entire plasmid (~2×10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, as noted above) overestimated the rate constants for pUC19 deactivation observed for strains AB1157 (wild-type) and DH5 $\alpha$  (*recA*<sup>-</sup>, *endA*<sup>-</sup>), but the difference in the rate constants was small (differing by factors of 1.3 and 2.1, respectively). The plasmid deactivation rate constant estimated using the three DNA repair-deficient strains was 2.5×10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, which was also close to the predicted degradation rate constant for the entire plasmid (differing by factors of 1.3). These results suggest that every instance of O<sub>3</sub>-induced DNA damage (e.g., base oxidation) in pUC19 effectively led to deactivation of the plasmid (loss of transforming activity) when the repair-deficient (*uvrA*<sup>-</sup> and/or *recA*<sup>-</sup>) *E. coli* strains were used. For the wild-type and DH5 $\alpha$  (*recA*<sup>-</sup>, *endA*<sup>-</sup>) *E. coli* strains, it appears that not every O<sub>3</sub>-induced DNA damage resulted in plasmid deactivation, due to repair of some fraction of the DNA damage by the recipient cells.

The RecA protein (encoded by the *recA* gene) plays an important role in the repair of singlestrand gaps or double-strand breakages by homologous recombination (Shinohara and Ogawa 1995). The UvrABC proteins (encoded by the *uvr*A, *uvr*B and *uvr*C genes) are responsible for the nucleotide excision repair of various types of DNA lesions, such as the cyclobutane-pyrimidine dimers or 6,4-photoproducts formed during UV irradiation (Kisker et al. 2013). It is possible that RecA or UvrA is also capable of repairing some of the O<sub>3</sub>-induced DNA damage, which may

explain the lower deactivation rate constant when using the wild-type *E. coli* as a recipient strain compared with the *rec*A and/or *uvr*A deficient strains. Notably, strain DH5 $\alpha$  showed the lowest deactivation rate among the tested strains despite its lack of *recA*. The same trend has also been observed during treatment of pUC19 by UV and <sup>•</sup>OH, and explained by the lack of *endA* gene in DH5 $\alpha$  rendering it inherently more active for taking up intact plasmids and thus gene transformation (Nihemaiti et al. 2020).

#### 3.3. Degradation and deactivation of i-ARGs during exposure to O<sub>3</sub>

Degradation and deactivation of i-ARGs were investigated by exposing E. coli DH5a harboring pUC19 to O<sub>3</sub> at pH 7 in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM). Overall, the kinetic behavior in the degradation and deactivation of i-ARCs (Figure 1b) was similar to that for e-ARGs (Figure 1a), and the  $k_{O3}$  values of i-ARGs were lower than those of e-ARGs by factors of only 1.2–1.5 (p < 0.05) (Table 1).  $k_{O3}$  for the degradation of i-amp<sup>R</sup> segments, i-pUC19, and the loss of plasmid transforming activities for the i-ARGs are compared in Figure 3c and 3d. The  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  values predicted from the 192-, 400-, 603-, and 851-bps segments were  $1.6 \times 10^{5} (\pm 0.1)$ ,  $1.6 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$ ,  $1.7 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$ , and  $1.5 \times 10^{5}(\pm 0.1)$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, yielding an average  $k_{O3,plasmid}$  value of  $1.6(\pm 0.2) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The  $k_{O3,transformation}$  values were  $1.1(\pm 0.5) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  for AB1157 and  $6.6(\pm 0.5) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  for DH5 $\alpha$  from the slopes of plots of log<sub>10</sub>-scale transforming activity loss vs O<sub>3</sub> exposure. The predicted i-pUC19 degradation rate constants therefore overestimated the deactivation rate constants by factors of 1.5 and 2.4 for AB1157 and DH5a, respectively. Similar to the case of e-ARGs, the deactivation rate constants for i-ARGs were too fast to be captured by the transformation assays using the three repair-deficient E. coli strains (AB1886, AB2463, and AB2480), as shown in Figure 1b, and a k<sub>O3,transformation</sub> value for i-ARGs could only be estimated as  $\ge 2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  when using these strains (Table 1; Figure 3d). Overall, our results show that the *E*. *coli* cell envelope and other cellular constituents did not significantly hinder the ability of  $O_3$  to reach and react with intracellular pUC19.

### 3.4. Degradation of e-ARGs during exposure to FAC

1) Effect of tert-butanol and involvement of radical reaction. Solutions containing e-pUC19 were exposed to FAC at pH 6.8 at different FAC exposures (0–100 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min) and quenched with thiosulfate to terminate the reaction. The results (Figure 4, open symbols) showed that the degradation of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments became gradually faster with increasing FAC exposure. The degradation rate was lowest for the 192-bps segment, and increased with increasing length of the e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments. It was found that degradation of the e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments in this study was considerably faster than degradation of similar length e-*blt* gene segments in the previous study (He et al. 2019) when compared at the same FAC exposure. To test whether the faster degradation of the e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segment observed in the current study may be related to reactions involving radicals such as <sup>•</sup>OH, e-pUC19 was also exposed to FAC in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM). The results (Figure 4, filled symbols) showed that degradation of the e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments became significantly slower in the presence of *tert*-butanol.

Agarose gel electrophoresis analyses (Figure 2b) showed that FAC treatment in the absence of *tert*-butanol resulted in gradual movement of the pUC19 band upward with increasing FAC exposure (0–46 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min) and then downward with further increase of the FAC exposure (46–188 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min). This indicates conformational change of pUC19 from the supercoiled to the linear form and then to another form that migrated faster than the linear form (e.g., fragmented linear plasmid). In contrast, chlorination of pUC19 in the presence of *tert*-butanol (Figure 2c) did not result in any change in the pUC19 band position at the tested FAC exposures, indicating negligible plasmid conformational variation (e.g., strand breakage) from the initial

supercoiled form. The visual trends observed in gel images are also shown in a quantitative manner where the degree of plasmid conformational change is measured as a function of FAC exposure (Figure S5), using quantitative agarose gel image analyses.

The enhanced  $amp^{R}$  degradation and plasmid conformational changes in the absence of *tert*butanol indicate an involvement of strong radicals such as <sup>•</sup>OH during chlorination of the plasmid DNA. Previous studies have also reported formation of single- and double-strand breaks in plasmid DNA during chlorination experiments conducted in the absence of radical scavenger, though such behavior was attributed to formation of N-centered radicals following thermal, metal-, or light-mediated homolysis of N-chlorinated DNA nucleobases (Hawkins and Davies 2002, Suquet et al. 2010). We hypothesize that in the present case, FAC-induced Fenton-like reactions associated with trace metal residues in the DNA extracts may be primarily responsible for radical formation. DNA has high affinity for some transition metals (e.g., Cu(I) and Fe(II)) and the reaction of DNA-metal complexes with FAC (or  $H_2O_2$ ) is known to generate <sup>•</sup>OH (Ouameur et al. 2005, Prütz 1996a, b, Suquet et al. 2010). Even though the plasmids used in this study were purified, they could still have contained trace levels of transition metals in the form of DNA-metal complexes. Separate experiments showed the formation of formaldehyde at concentrations of up to  $\sim$ 70 nM during treatment of pUC19 (2 mg/L) with FAC (70  $\mu$ M) at pH 7 in the presence of excess *tert*-butanol (50 mM), while formaldehyde formation was negligible during chlorination under the same condition without pUC19 (Figure S6). These data are consistent with formation of 'OH in this system, as formaldehyde is one of the major products (with 25% molar yield) from the reaction of <sup>•</sup>OH with *tert*-butanol (Flyunt et al. 2003a). Further investigation is warranted to test the hypothesis of DNA-metal complexes inducing Fenton-like reactions as a source of radical formation.

It should be noted that tert-butanol would likely have no effect on reactions driven directly

by N-centered radicals formed at the DNA nitrogen atoms, as *tert*-butanol is not expected to exhibit significant reactivities toward "weaker" radicals such as  ${}^{\circ}NH_2$  (Huie 2003). Accordingly, if N-centered radicals were directly responsible for these reactions, the addition of *tert*-butanol should have no effect on the degradation kinetics of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments and pUC19, in contrast to the observations reported here. However, it may be possible that Cl<sup>•</sup> formation (and consequently  ${}^{\circ}OH$  formation via  ${}^{\circ}Cl + H_2O \implies ClOH^{\bullet^-} + H^+ \implies {}^{\circ}OH + Cl^- + H^+$  or  ${}^{\circ}Cl + OH^- \implies$  $ClOH^{\bullet^-} \implies {}^{\circ}OH + Cl^-$  (Kläning and Wolff 1985)) through, for example, thermally- or metalmediated homolysis of N-chlorinated nucleobases may have contributed *indirectly* to the observed results.

2) Degradation kinetics of  $amp^R$  by FAC. The observed accelerating kinetics for the degradation of  $amp^R$  segments by direct reactions with FAC (i.e., in the presence of *tert*-butanol) can be interpreted according to a two-step reaction model originally proposed by He et al. (He et al. 2019) for chromosomal ARGs (Eqs. 1 and 2).

$$Amp + FAC \xrightarrow{k_{FAC,Amp}} Amp_{N-Cl}$$
(1)

$$Amp_{N-Cl} + FAC \xrightarrow{k_{FAC,Amp_{N-Cl}}} Product$$
(2)

In this model, an initial reaction between FAC and a hydrogen-bonded nucleotide base pair within a given DNA segment (or amplicon) (Amp) leads to formation of an N-chlorinated DNA segment (or amplicon),  $Amp_{N-Cl}$  (the forward step in Eq. 1), which can be dechlorinated to the parent form upon quenching with a strong reductant such as thiosulfate (the reverse step in Eq. 1). The heterocyclic NH groups of guanosine and thymidine are the most likely sites of the initial attack represented by Eq. 1, based on their higher reactivities in comparison to the heterocyclic NH or exocyclic NH<sub>2</sub> groups of cytidine or adenosine, or the exocyclic NH<sub>2</sub> group of guanosine (Prütz 1999, Prütz 1996a).

In the presence of excess FAC, the fraction of N-chlorinated bases in a given DNA segment  $(Amp_{N-Cl})$  will increase with increasing FAC exposure (noting that a segment of DNA can sustain multiple such reversible hits up to a maximum number equal to the number of base pairs in the segment). As the H-bonds between base pairs in the DNA segment are progressively disrupted by N-chlorination, the resulting unpaired nucleobases are activated toward FAC, and may then irreversibly react with FAC to form stable C-chlorinated products that cannot revert to the initial state upon thiosulfate treatment, and that are detectable by qPCR due to blockage of DNA polymerase (Eq. 2). The observed irreversible degradation kinetics of the DNA segment are N-chlorinated, consistent with the observed linearities of logarithmic-scale *amp*<sup>R</sup> degradation curves with respect to FAC exposure after reaching a certain threshold range of FAC exposure values (in general > 40-60 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min) (Figure 4, filled symbols). Further details on the two-step FAC reaction model can be found in the prior work (He et al. 2019).

Rate constants for each step of the degradation of *amp*<sup>*R*</sup> segments by FAC were determined according to the above model as previously described (He et al. 2019). Briefly,  $k_{FAC,AmpN-Cl}$  of the second (irreversible) C-chlorination step (Eq. 2) was firstly determined, and its values were 49.6(±0.7), 93.5(±9.8), 144.2(±4.6), and 219.9(±9.9) M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for the 192-, 400-, 603-, and 851-bp segments, respectively (Figures S7 and S8). As  $k_{FAC,AmpN-Cl}$  can be assumed to be equal to the product of the rate constant for irreversible chlorination of a single N-chlorinated bp ( $k_{FAC,N-Cl bp}$ ) and the number of total N-chlorinated bps in a DNA segment (i.e.,  $k_{FAC,AmpN-Cl} = k_{FAC,N-Cl bp} \times \#N$ -Cl bp =  $k_{FAC,N-Cl bp} \times \#bp$ , when fully N-chlorinated), an average value of 0.26(±0.3) M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> could be obtained for  $k_{FAC,N-Cl bp}$  from the slope of a plot of  $k_{FAC,AmpN-Cl}$  vs. #N-Cl bp (Figure S9b). Next, the  $k_{FAC,Amp}$  values for the first N-chlorination step (Eq. 1) were determined for each segment by finding numerical solutions of Eqs. 1 and 2 via non-linear regressions of the experimental data

(with  $k_{\text{FAC,N-Cl bp}}$  constant, as determined above, and  $k_{\text{FAC,Amp}}$  as a master variable to be solved for). Further details of the non-linear regressions are provided in SI-Excel-1. The resulting values of  $k_{\text{FAC,Amp}}$  were  $2.7(\pm 0.2) \times 10^3$ ,  $5.4(\pm 0.5) \times 10^3$ ,  $9.2(\pm 0.9) \times 10^3$ , and  $1.7(\pm 0.2) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  for the 192-, 400-, 603-, and 851-bp segments, respectively. Linear regression of these data versus numbers of AT+GC bps per amplicon yielded  $16.6\pm 2.7$  ((M AT+GC)<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>). The  $k_{\text{FAC,Amp}}$  values from this study were larger (by a factor of 2 on average) than the reported rate constants for the chromosomally-encoded *blt* gene of *B. subtilis* (Choi et al. 2021a, He et al. 2019) when compared as the segment length-normalized  $k_{\text{FAC,Amp}}$  values.

The two-step FAC reaction model could be applied to predict degradation kinetics for the entire e-pUC19 plasmid as a function of FAC exposure, using  $k_{FAC,plasmid}$  and  $k_{FAC,plasmid N-Cl}$  values for degradation of the whole plasmid.  $k_{FAC,plasmid}$  was estimated as  $5.6(\pm 0.6) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  by extrapolation from the linear regression equation for  $k_{FAC,Amp}$  vs. the number of AT+GC bps in each *amp*<sup>R</sup> segment, i.e.,  $k_{FAC,Amp} = (16.6) \times (\# \text{ AT+GC}) - (6.0 \times 10^3)$  (Figure S9a).  $k_{FAC,plasmid N-Cl}$  (for C-chlorination of the fully N-chlorinated plasmid) was estimated as  $7.0 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  from the relationship,  $k_{FAC,AmpN-Cl} = k_{FAC,N-Cl}$  by #N-Cl bps =  $(0.26) \times (2686)$  (Figure S9b). Using these rate constants for the whole plasmid in the two-step FAC reaction model, a degradation profile of pUC19 as a function of FAC exposure was generated, as shown by the dashed line in Figure 4e. Based on the model prediction, 4-log level degradation of e-pUC19 was achieved at an FAC exposure of ~40 mgCl\_2/L×min.

3) Effect of pH. Degradation rate constants for e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments were also examined at pH 8.1 by exposing solutions containing pUC19 to FAC in the absence of *tert*-butanol. The degradation of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments at pH 8.1 was much slower than at pH 6.8 (both cases in the absence of *tert*-butanol), as shown in Figure S10. The slower degradation of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> segments at higher pH could be attributed to the change in FAC speciation from HOCl to less reactive OCl<sup>-</sup>

(HOCl  $\Leftrightarrow$  H<sup>+</sup> + OCl<sup>-</sup>, p $K_a$  = 7.5 (Morris 1966). Note that the two-step FAC reaction model was not applied to kinetic data obtained in the absence of *tert*-butanol at pHs 6.8 and 8.1, as these data involve not only FAC, but also <sup>•</sup>OH reactions.

### 3.5. Deactivation of e-pUC19 during exposure to FAC

The deactivation of e-pUC19 by FAC in the absence of *tert*-butanol at pH 6.8 was compared using the *E. coli* AB and DH5 $\alpha$  strains as recipient cells. As observed for O<sub>3</sub>, the kinetics of transforming activity loss for FAC-treated e-pUC19 also varied depending on the recipient strain used. In contrast to the accelerating degradation kinetics observed for e-*amp*<sup>*R*</sup> segments and e-pUC19, the loss of transforming activity for most *E. coli* strains exhibited linear kinetics with respect to FAC exposure (Figure 5), and apparent *k*<sub>FAC transformation</sub> values could be obtained from the slopes of the resulting decay curves. The reason for the deviations in kinetic behavior for degradation vs. deactivation is currently unclear.

The rate constants for transforming activity loss decreased in the order of AB2463 (*rec*A<sup>-</sup>, 3.2×10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), AB1186 (*uvr*A<sup>-</sup>, 1.5×10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), AB1157 (wild-type,  $1.4\times10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), and DH5a (*recA<sup>-</sup>*, *endA<sup>-</sup>*, 5.4×10<sup>2</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), where the values in parentheses are  $k_{FAC,transformation}$ . For the *E. coli* mutant AB2480 (*uvr*A<sup>-</sup>, *rec*A<sup>-</sup>), no transformants were detectable even at the lowest FAC exposure applied (2.4 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min), indicating a >4-log decrease (Figure 5). By assuming second-order reaction kinetics for e-pUC19 deactivation by FAC (consistent with the trends observed when using the other *E. coli* recipient strains), the  $k_{FAC,transformation}$  value was estimated to be  $\geq 4\times10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> when using strain AB2480. Thus,  $k_{FAC,transformation}$  for pUC19 deactivation when using the double-mutant AB2480 was  $\geq$ 4-fold more rapid than when using the wild-type AB1157.  $k_{FAC,transformation}$  values for pUC19 deactivation were in general ~2–4-fold higher when using the AB strains as recipients than when using DH5α. Similar trends were observed for O<sub>3</sub>, consistent

with the various DNA repair abilities of these *E. coli* strains (see the above discussion in the  $O_3$  section).

The deactivation efficiency of e-pUC19 was also determined at pH 8.1, using DH5 $\alpha$  as the recipient cell. The result showed that e-pUC19 deactivation kinetics depended strongly on pH, where  $k_{\text{FAC},\text{transformation}}$  at pH 6.8 (5.4×10<sup>2</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) was ~7-fold higher than at pH 8.1 (82 M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>).

The effect of *tert*-butanol on the kinetics of e-pUC19 deactivation was also investigated. The results showed that deactivation of e-pUC19 (using DH5 $\alpha$  as recipient strain) in the absence of *tert*-butanol ( $k_{\text{FAC,transformation}} = 5.4 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) was faster than in the presence of *tert*-butanol  $(k_{\text{FAC,transformation}} = 3.6 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$  by a factor of 1.5. This behavior is consistent with an additional e-pUC19 degradation pathway induced by radicals during chlorination in the absence of *tert*-butanol. We also attempted to compare deactivation kinetics of pUC19 with degradation kinetics for the entire plasmid (pUC19), each in the presence of tert-butanol, in which the twostep FAC reaction model was used to predict the degradation of e-pUC19 as a function of FAC exposure (see Section 3.4). The predicted degradation profile for pUC19 was in reasonable agreement with the experimental deactivation profile for pUC19, aside from the curvature of the predicted degradation profile at low FAC exposures, resulting in overall underestimation of pUC19 deactivation (Figure 4e). The difference between the degradation model prediction and the experimental deactivation data indicates that there may be other factors unaccounted for by the model that contribute to pUC19 transforming activity loss (e.g., DNA damage repair in recipient cells). Nevertheless, our data show overall that FAC-induced DNA damage in pUC19 effectively leads to deactivation of the plasmid.

#### 3.6. Degradation and deactivation of i-ARGs during exposure to FAC

Degradation of i-ARGs was investigated by exposing cells of *E. coli* DH5α harboring pUC19 to FAC at pH 6.8 and pH 8.1 in the absence of *tert*-butanol. Overall, the kinetic behaviors

of i-ARG degradation (Figure 6) appeared to be different from those of e-ARG degradation (Figure 4). There was a rapid, initial degradation of i- $amp^{R}$  segments (0.3 – 0.9 log losses) at FAC exposures of 0.5 – 20 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min, whereas this initial rapid loss was not observed for the e- $amp^{R}$ . After the initial rapid decreases, the degradation of i- $amp^{R}$  segments exhibited accelerating kinetics with respect to FAC exposure. Degradation kinetics of i- $amp^{R}$  segments after the initial rapid losses were similar at pH 6.8 and pH 8.1 (slightly faster at pH 6.8 than pH 8.1), whereas degradation kinetics of e- $amp^{R}$  segments were significantly higher at pH 6.8 than pH 8.1 (Figure S10). Furthermore, the degradation kinetics of i- $amp^{R}$  segments at pH 6.8 and pH 8.1 (which were similar) were slower than for e- $amp^{R}$  at pH 6.8 and faster than for e- $amp^{R}$  at pH 8.1 (Figures S11 and S12).

The initial rapid loss of i-ARGs was also observed in a previous study (Yoon et al. 2017), and explained by Fenton-like processes initiated within *E. coli* cells by reactions of FAC (following its penetration of the cell envelope) with intracellular metal species (e.g., Fe(II) or Cu(I)) that could generate free radicals and cause oxidative DNA damage. As an alternative hypothesis, decreased efficacy of plasmid DNA recovery from FAC-treated *E. coli* cells (compared with intact cells) might also be responsible for the initial rapid i-ARG loss. However, the degree of initial *amp*<sup>R</sup> loss was found to increase with increasing size of the monitored *amp*<sup>R</sup> segment (Figure \$13). This indicates that the initial rapid loss of i-ARGs is more likely related to DNA degradation than to DNA recovery, as in the latter case the degree of observed i-ARG loss would likely be independent of *amp*<sup>R</sup> segment size.

The lack of a substantial pH effect on i-ARG degradation kinetics compared with e-ARGs was also observed in a previous study (Yoon et al. 2017) and explained by the change of FAC speciation after its uptake by the cells according to cytoplasmic pH conditions (pH 7.2–7.8) (Wilks and Slonczewski 2007). In this scenario, the reaction of FAC with the i-*amp*<sup>R</sup> would likely

have occurred under conditions reflective of e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> degradation at pH ~7.5 (consistent with the fact that i-*amp*<sup>R</sup> degradation kinetics were intermediate between the e-*amp*<sup>R</sup> kinetics observed at pH 6.8 and 8.1).

Deactivation of i-ARGs was also determined for the *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  exposed to FAC at pH 6.8 and pH 8.1 in the absence of *tert*-butanol. The plasmid was extracted from the chlorinated *E. coli* samples, and applied to the gene transformation assay using non-resistant *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ . The deactivation kinetics of i-pUC19 at pH 6.8 and pH 8.1 differed by only a factor of ~1.4 ( $1.4 \times 10^2$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and  $1.0 \times 10^2$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) (Figure 6e), consistent with the weak pH dependence observed for i-*amp*<sup>R</sup> degradation. FAC exposures of 68 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min at pH 6.8 and 94 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min at pH 8.1 were required to reach 4-log decreases of transforming activity for i-pUC19. The deactivation kinetics of i-pUC19 at pH 6.8 and 8.1 were slower than for e-pUC19 at pH 6.8, but faster than for e-pUC19 at pH 8.1. These trends in i-pUC19 deactivation kinetics are also consistent with a change of FAC speciation in response to the cytoplasmic pH of *E. coli* (~7.5), as discussed above in explaining the observed kinetics of i-*amp*<sup>R</sup> degradation.

## 4. Conclusions

- Degradation of the  $amp^R$ -bearing plasmid pUC19 by O<sub>3</sub> was fast, with a *k* value of ~2×10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. The deactivation of pUC19 by O<sub>3</sub> was also rapid, with *k* values similar to or slightly lower (within a factor of 2.1) than those for the degradation of pUC19 when assessed using *E*. *coli* strains with varying DNA repair abilities as recipient cells. Influence of cellular components on the reaction efficiency of O<sub>3</sub> with intracellular plasmids was minor, with e-pUC19 and i-pUC19 degradation and deactivation in generally good agreement.
- Considering the high O<sub>3</sub> reactivity in gene degradation and deactivation, efficient elimination of plasmid-borne ARGs appears to be feasible during ozonation of drinking water and

wastewater effluent, though further work with other bacterial strains (including environmental strains), plasmids, and ARGs is needed to confirm these findings can be generalized. Impacts of water matrix components such as suspended solids and dissolved organic matter should also be considered.

- Degradation of pUC19 during chlorination was driven by direct reactions with FAC, as well as by reactions with radicals that may have been generated from incidental reactions of FAC with plasmid DNA extracts.
- The kinetics of *amp*<sup>*R*</sup> segment degradation accelerated with increasing FAC exposure, and could be described by a two-step sequential reaction model. Degradation of pUC19 by FAC, which could be predicted by the two-step FAC reaction model, lagged the deactivation of the plasmid at low exposures (<10 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min), though kinetics of degradation and deactivation were in generally good agreement at higher exposures (>20 mgCl<sub>2</sub>/L×min).
- O<sub>3</sub>-induced and FAC-induced plasmid DNA damage was repairable by *E. coli* recipient strains, though the extent of DNA repair was lower for the cases of O<sub>3</sub> and FAC when compared to those of UV and •OH determined in previous work (Nihemaiti et al. 2020).
- Overall, significant levels of plasmid-borne ARG degradation and deactivation appear to be achievable during water chlorination, especially for extracellular ARGs at near-neutral pH. As noted above for O<sub>3</sub>, further work with other bacterial strains, plasmids, and ARGs, in addition to consideration of water matrix effect (e.g., dissolved organic matter, ammonia), is needed to confirm the degree to which these findings can be generalized.
- The findings from this study and our prior investigations (Nihemaiti et al. 2020, Yoon et al. 2018) support the combination of qPCR analyses and kinetics-based modeling approaches as useful tools to assess and predict the deactivation efficiencies of plasmid-encoded ARGs during water disinfection/oxidation processes.

Journal Prevention

### **Supporting Information**

Four texts, one table, and 13 figures are available as supplementary materials and data.

### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation funded by the Ministry of Science

and ICT (NRF-2020R1A2C2011951). Additional support for MCD and HH provided by U.S.

National Science Foundation Grant Number CBET-1254929 is gratefully acknowledged.

### Reference

Alexander, J., Knopp, G., Dötsch, A., Wieland, A. and Schwartz, T. (2016) Ozone treatment of conditioned wastewater selects antibiotic resistance genes, opportunistic bacteria, and induce strong population shifts. Science of the Total Environment 559, 103-112.

Chang, P.H., Juhrend, B., Olson, T.M., Marrs, C.F. and Wigginton, K.R. (2017) Degradation of extracellular antibiotic resistance genes with UV254 treatment. Environmental science & technology 51(11), 6185-6192.

Choi, Y., He, H., Dodd, M.C. and Lee, Y. (2021a) Degradation Kinetics of Antibiotic Resistance Gene mecA of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) during Water Disinfection with Chlorine, Ozone, and Ultraviolet Light. Environmental science & technology 55(4), 2541-2552.

Choi, Y., He, H., Dodd, M.C. and Lee, Y. (2021b) Degradation Kinetics of Antibiotic Resistance Gene mecA of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) during Water Disinfection with Chlorine, Ozone, and Ultraviolet Light. Environmental Science & Technology.

Czekalski, N., Berthold, T., Caucci, S., Egli, A. and Bürgmann, H. (2012) Increased levels of multiresistant bacteria and resistance genes after wastewater treatment and their dissemination into Lake Geneva, Switzerland. Frontiers in Microbiology 3, 106.

Czekalski, N., Imminger, S., Salhi, E., Veljkovic, M., Kleffel, K., Drissner, D., Hammes, F., Bürgmann, H. and Von Gunten, U. (2016) Inactivation of antibiotic resistant bacteria and resistance genes by ozone: from laboratory experiments to full-scale wastewater treatment. Environmental Science & Technology 50(21), 11862-11871.

Davies, J. and Davies, D. (2010) Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 74(3), 417-433.

Dodd, M.C. (2012) Potential impacts of disinfection processes on elimination and deactivation of antibiotic resistance genes during water and wastewater treatment. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 14(7), 1754-1771.

Flyunt, R., Leitzke, A., Mark, G., Mvula, E., Reisz, E., Schick, R. and von Sonntag, C. (2003a) Determination of •OH, O2•-, and Hydroperoxide Yields in Ozone Reactions in Aqueous Solution. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 107(30), 7242-7253.

Flyunt, R., Leitzke, A., Mark, G., Mvula, E., Reisz, E., Schick, R. and von Sonntag, C. (2003b) Determination of OH, O2--, and hydroperoxide yields in ozone reactions in aqueous solution. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 107(30), 7242-7253.

Flyunt, R., Theruvathu, J.A., Leitzke, A. and von Sonntag, C. (2002) The reactions of thymine and thymidine with ozone. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2 (9), 1572-1582.

Hawkins, C.L. and Davies, M.J. (2002) Hypochlorite-induced damage to DNA, RNA, and polynucleotides: formation of chloramines and nitrogen-centered radicals. Chemical Research in Toxicology 15(1), 83-92.

He, H., Zhou, P., Shimabuku, K.K., Fang, X., Li, S., Lee, Y. and Dodd, M.C. (2019) Degradation and deactivation of bacterial antibiotic resistance genes during exposure to free chlorine, monochloramine, chlorine dioxide, ozone, ultraviolet light, and hydroxyl radical. Environmental science & technology 53(4), 2013-2026.

Huie, R.E. (2003) NDRL/NIST Solution Kinetics Database on the WEB.

Jacangelo, J.G. and Trussell, R.R. (2002) International report: Water and wastewater disinfection-trends, issues and practices. Water science and technology: water supply 2(3), 147-157.

Kisker, C., Kuper, J. and Van Houten, B. (2013) Prokaryotic nucleotide excision repair. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 5(3), a012591.

Kläning, U.K. and Wolff, T. (1985) Laser Flash Photolysis of HCIO, CIO–, HBrO, and BrO– in Aqueous Solution. Reactions of Cl- and Br- Atoms. Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie 89(3), 243-245.

McKinney, C.W. and Pruden, A. (2012) Ultraviolet disinfection of antibiotic resistant bacteria and their antibiotic resistance genes in water and wastewater. Environmental science & technology 46(24), 13393-13400.

Morris, J.C. (1966) The acid ionization constant of HOCl from 5 to 35. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 70(12), 3798-3805.

Nagler, M., Insam, H., Pietramellara, G. and Ascher-Jenull, J. (2018) Extracellular DNA in natural environments: features, relevance and applications. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 102(15), 6343-6356.

Nihemaiti, M., Yoon, Y., He, H., Dodd, M.C., Croué, J.-P. and Lee, Y. (2020) Degradation and deactivation of a plasmid-encoded extracellular antibiotic resistance gene during separate and combined exposures to UV254 and radicals. Water research, 115921.

Ouameur, A.A., Arakawa, H., Ahmad, R., Naoui, M. and Tajmir-Riahi, H. (2005) A comparative study of Fe (II) and Fe (III) interactions with DNA duplex: major and minor grooves bindings. DNA and cell biology 24(6), 394-401.

Pak, G., Salcedo, D.E., Lee, H., Oh, J., Maeng, S.K., Song, K.G., Hong, S.W., Kim, H.-C., Chandran, K. and Kim, S. (2016) Comparison of antibiotic resistance removal efficiencies using ozone disinfection under different pH and suspended solids and humic substance concentrations. Environmental science & technology 50(14), 7590-7600.

Prütz, W. (1999) Consecutive halogen transfer between various functional groups induced by reaction of hypohalous acids: NADH oxidation by halogenated amide groups. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 371(1), 107-114.

Prütz, W.A. (1996a) Hypochlorous acid interactions with thiols, nucleotides, DNA, and other biological substrates. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 332(1), 110-120.

Prütz, W.A. (1996b) Measurement of copper-dependent oxidative DNA damage by HOCl and H2O2 with the ethidium-binding assay. Journal of biochemical and biophysical methods 32(2), 125-135.

Pruden, A., Pei, R., Storteboom, H. and Carlson, K.H. (2006) Antibiotic resistance genes as emerging contaminants: studies in northern Colorado. Environmental science & technology 40(23), 7445-7450.

Sawadaishi, K., Miura, K., Ohtsuka, E., Ueda, T., Ishizaki, K. and Shinriki, N. (1985) Ozonolysis of supercoiled pBR322 DNA resulting in strand scission to open circular DNA. Nucleic acids research 13(20), 7183-7194.

Sawadaishi, K., Miura, K., Ohtsuka, E., Ueda, T., Shinriki, N. and Ishizaki, K. (1986) Structureand sequence-specificity of ozone degradation of supercoiled plasmid DNA. Nucleic acids research 14(3), 1159-1169.

Shinohara, A. and Ogawa, T. (1995) Homologous recombination and the roles of double-strand breaks. Trends in biochemical sciences 20(10), 387-391.

Suquet, C., Warren, J.J., Seth, N. and Hurst, J.K. (2010) Comparative study of HOCl-inflicted damage to bacterial DNA ex vivo and within cells. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 493(2), 135-142.

Theruvathu, J.A., Flyunt, R., Aravindakumar, C.T. and von Sonntag, C. (2001) Rate constants of ozone reactions with DNA, its constituents and related compounds. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2 (3), 269-274.

Thomas, C.M. and Nielsen, K.M. (2005) Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Nature reviews microbiology 3(9), 711.

United, I. (2019) No Time to Wait–Securing the Future from Drug-resistant Infections. Report to the Secretary General of the Nations.

von Gunten, U. (2018) Oxidation processes in water treatment: are we on track? Environmental

science & technology 52(9), 5062-5075.

von Sonntag, C. (2006) Free-radical-induced DNA damage and its repair, Springer.

Von Sonntag, C. and Von Gunten, U. (2012) Chemistry of ozone in water and wastewater treatment, IWA publishing.

Wilks, J.C. and Slonczewski, J.L. (2007) pH of the cytoplasm and periplasm of Escherichia coli: rapid measurement by green fluorescent protein fluorimetry. Journal of bacteriology 189(15), 5601-5607.

Yoon, Y., Chung, H.J., Di, D.Y.W., Dodd, M.C., Hur, H.-G. and Lee, Y. (2017) Inactivation efficiency of plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance genes during water treatment with chlorine, UV, and UV/H2O2. Water research 123, 783-793.

Yoon, Y., Dodd, M.C. and Lee, Y. (2018) Elimination of transforming activity and gene degradation during UV and UV/H 2 O 2 treatment of plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance genes. Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology 4(9), 1239-1251.

Zhang, M., Chen, S., Yu, X., Vikesland, P. and Pruden, A. (2019) Degradation of extracellular genomic, plasmid DNA and specific antibiotic resistance genes by chlorination. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering 13(3), 1-12.

Zhang, Y., Li, A., Dai, T., Li, F., Xie, H., Chen, L. and Wen, D. (2018) Cell-free DNA: a neglected source for antibiotic resistance genes spreading from WWTPs. Environmental science & technology 52(1), 248-257.

1 **Table 1.** Kinetics parameters for the degradation (qPCR signal loss) and deactivation (loss of transforming activity) of *amp*<sup>*R*</sup> during exposure of

2 extracellular (Extra) and intracellular (Intra) plasmid (pUC19) to O3 and FAC. Mean and standard error values from triplicate experiments are

3	provided,	unless	otherwise	stated in	the footnotes.
---	-----------	--------	-----------	-----------	----------------

5

nt	lasmid state		Gene degradation (qPCR)				Gene deactivation <sup>c</sup> (transformation assay with <i>E. coli</i> strains)				
Oxida				$amp^{R}$ se	egments		pUC19		AB1157	AB1886/	
		Ч	$k, \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{s}^{-1}$	192 bps	400 bps	603 bps	851 bps	2686 bps	DH3a	AB1157	AB2403/ AB2480
O <sub>3</sub>		Extra	k <sub>O3,Amp</sub> pH 7.0	$1.6(\pm 0.1) \times 10^4$	$3.1(\pm 0.2) \times 10^4$	$4.6(\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$	$6.1(\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$	2.1(±0.2)× 10 <sup>5 a</sup>	$1.1(\pm 0.1) \times 10^5$	$1.4(\pm 0.1)$ ×10 <sup>5</sup>	≥2.5×10 <sup>5</sup>
	Intra k		<i>k</i> <sub>O3,Amp</sub> pH 7.0	$1.1(\pm 0.1) \times 10^4$	$2.4(\pm 0.2) \times 10^4$	$3.8(\pm 0.1) \times 10^4$	$4.8\pm(0.2)\times 10^4$	$1.6(\pm 0.2) \times 10^{5 a}$	$6.6(\pm 0.5) \times 10^4$	$1.1(\pm 0.5) \times 10^5$	≥2.0×10 <sup>5</sup>
FAC	Extra <sup>b</sup>	With 10 mM <i>tert</i> - butanol	k <sub>FAC</sub> , <sub>Amp</sub> pH 6.8	$2.7(\pm 0.2) \times 10^3$	$5.4(\pm 0.5) \times 10^3$	$9.2(\pm 0.9) \times 10^{3}$	$1.7(\pm 0.2) \times 10^4$	$4.4(\pm 0.7) \times 10^4$	$3.6(\pm 0.2)$ ×10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-
			$k_{\rm FAC,}$								
			AmpN-Cl, pH 6.8			2.6(±0.1)×10 <sup>-1</sup>				-	
		without tert-butanol	k <sub>FAC</sub> , <sub>Amp</sub> pH 6.8			_			$5.4(\pm 0.3) \times 10^{2}$	$1.4(\pm 0.2)$ ×10 <sup>3</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5(\pm0.2){\times}10^{3/}\\ 3.2(\pm0.1){\times}10^{3/}\\ 4.3(\pm0.1){\times}10^{3}\end{array}$
			k <sub>FAC</sub> , <sub>Amp</sub> pH 8.1			-			$8.2(\pm 0.2) \times 10^{1}$	-	-
		Intra	<i>k</i> <sub>FAC</sub> , <sub>Amp</sub> pH 6.8			-			$1.4(\pm 0.4)$ ×10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-
	mua		k <sub>FAC</sub> , <sub>Amp</sub> pH 8.1			-			$1.1(\pm 0.2) \times 10^2$	-	-

4  ${}^{a}k_{pUC19}$  indicates apparent second-order rate constants for degradation of pUC19 by O<sub>3</sub> which were estimated from the equation obtained from linear regression

of the k values for degradation of  $amp^{R}$  segments ( $k_{ampR}$ ) by O<sub>3</sub> vs. segment length (Figure S4). The error values of  $k_{pUC19}$  were calculated using error

30

propagation for the linear relationship between  $k_{pUC19}$  and  $k_{ampR}$ .<sup>1</sup> 6

<sup>b</sup>The degradation of amp<sup>R</sup> segments was modeled by the two-step FAC reaction model as described in the main text (Section 3.4), with k<sub>FAC,Amp</sub> and k<sub>FAC,Amp</sub>. and k<sub>FAC,Amp</sub> and k<sub>FAC,Amp</sub>. 7

8 as the rate constants of the first and second steps, respectively, and with  $k_{\text{FAC}, \text{AmpN-Cl}}$  values obtained from weighted linear regression of experimental  $k_{\text{obs}, \text{FAC}}$ 

9 values vs. [FAC]<sub>0</sub>. Using the obtained k<sub>FAC, AmpN-Cl</sub> value, the k<sub>FAC, Amp</sub> values for each segment were determined by fitting of the experimental data with the two-

10 step FAC reaction model. The error values for k<sub>FAC,Amp</sub> indicate lower and upper values of the model outputs obtained by using the lower and higher range of the

11 standard errors of the  $k_{FAC, AmpN-Cl}$  values, respectively.

<sup>c</sup>Second-order rate constants for the gene (*amp<sup>R</sup>*) deactivation by O<sub>3</sub> or FAC were determined from the linear slopes of plots of log<sub>10</sub>-scale transforming activity 12 13 loss vs oxidant exposure.

14

Johnalprort

# **Graphical abstract**





**Figure 1**. Logarithmic-scale degradation of  $amp_R(192 (\bullet), 400 (\blacktriangle), 603 (\lor)$  and 851 bps ( $\blacksquare$ )) and elimination of pUC19's transformation activity with *E. coli* DH5a (), AB1157 (•), or AB1886(•)/AB2463(•)/AB2480(•) as recipient strains as a function of O<sub>3</sub> exposure during treatment of (a) extracellular and (b) intracellular pUC19 (*E. coli* DH5a containing pUC19) with O<sub>3</sub> at pH 7 in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM). Symbols represent measured data and error bars represent one standard deviation about the mean from triplicate experiments. Lines are linear regressions of the data, excluding data below the quantification limit (i.e., > 4-log degradation/deactivation, indicated by horizontal dotted lines and symbols with arrows). Note that the symbols for AB1157/AB1886/AB2463/AB2480 appear as ( $\bullet$ ) due to the data overlap



**Figure 2**. Agarose gel electrophoresis images of extracellular pUC19 treated with (a) O<sub>3</sub> at pH 7.0 in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM), (b) FAC at pH 6.8 in the absence of *tert*-butanol, and (c) FAC at pH 6.8 in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM). The first column shows gel images of standard ladders. The last column shows gel images of the pUC19 plasmid treated by restriction enzyme (*EcoRI*). The numbers above the gel images indicate the corresponding FAC or O<sub>3</sub> exposures to which the samples were subjected.



**Figure 3**. Apparent second-order rate constants (*k*) for degradation of *amp*<sub>R</sub> segments (192, 400, 603, and 851 bps) and pUC19 plasmid (2686 bps), and for the loss of pUC19 transforming activity (for *E.coli* strains with varying DNA repair abilities) during O<sub>3</sub> treatments of (a, b) extracellular and (c, d) intracellular ARGs. Error bars of *amp*<sub>R</sub> segment degradation or pUC19 deactivation represent one standard deviation about the mean from triplicate experiments. Error bars of pUC19 degradation represent standard deviations about the means of estimated values from the *amp*<sub>R</sub> segments. The *k* for pUC19 deactivation using *E. coli* AB1886, AB2463, and AB2480 as recipient strains is the estimated lowest value from the first data point with respect to O<sub>3</sub> exposure (designated by \* on top of the bars).



**Figure 4**. Logarithmic-scale degradation (a) 192, (b) 400, (c) 603, and (d) 851 bps *amp*<sub>R</sub> segments, and (e) elimination of pUC19 transformation activity using *E. coli* DH5a as recipient strain as a function of FAC exposure during treatment of extracellular pUC19 with FAC at pH 6.8 in the absence (open symbols) and in the presence of *tert*-butanol (10 mM, filled symbols). Symbols represent measured data and error bars represent one standard deviation about the mean from triplicate experiments. Solid lines (black) are non-linear regression lines for *amp*<sub>R</sub> segments (a–d) or linear regression lines for transforming activity loss (e), both in the presence of *tert*-butanol. The dashed line indicates the model prediction for degradation of pUC19 (e).

John of the second seco



**Figure 5**. Logarithmic-scale losses of pUC19 transforming activity as a function of FAC exposure during treatment of extracellular pUC19 with FAC in the absence and presence(\*) of *tert*-butanol (10 mM) at pH 6.8 and 8.1. Transforming activities were measured using different *E. coli* strains, including DH5a ( $\Rightarrow$ ), AB1157 ( $\bullet$ ), AB1886 ( $\bullet$ ), AB2463 ( $\bullet$ ), and AB2480 ( $\bullet$ ). Symbols represent measured data and error bars represent one standard deviation about the mean from triplicate experiments. Lines are linear regressions of the data, excluding data below the quantification limit (i.e., > 4-log deactivation, indicated by the horizontal dotted line and symbols with arrows).

37



**Figure 6**. Logarithmic-scale degradation of (a) 192, (b) 400, (c) 603, and (d) 851 bps i*amp*<sub>R</sub> segments, and (e) elimination of pUC19 transforming activity using *E. coli* DH5a recipient strain as a function of FAC exposure during treatment of intracellular pUC19 (*E. coli* DH5a containing pUC19) with FAC at pH 6.8 (open symbols) and pH 8.1 (filled symbols). Symbols represent measured data and error bars represent one standard deviation about the mean from triplicate experiments. Solid lines are linear regressions of the data for transforming activity losses.

outral pre-pro-